

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			i. Contract Number		Page of Pages		
					1 1		
2. Amendment/Modification Number		3. Effective Date		4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.		5. Solicitation Caption	
Amendment A0002		August 13, 2008				Secure our Schools Data Base System	
6. Issued by:			7. Administered by (If other than line 6)				
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office of the Chief Technology Officer 441 4 th Street, N.W., Suite 971N Washington, D.C. 20001			Office of the Chief Technology Officer Telecommunications 441 4 th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001				
8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. street, city, county, state and zip code)			9A. Amendment of Solicitation No.				
TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS			X DCTO-2008-Q-0226				
			9B. Dated (See Item 11) July 21, 2008				
			10A. Modification of Contractor/Order No.				
			10B. Dated (See Item 13)				
Code			Facility				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS , IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14							
A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.							
B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of:							
C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended							
D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office.							
14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)							
This is to correct a response to question 14 on the questions and answers sheet in Amendment A0001.							
The correct response is listed below:							
Question: Are there incumbents that have or are performing this work, or provided an unsolicited proposal for similar services, or assisted with the SOW?							
Response: There is an incumbent for this requirement. SP Schools Group is the incumbent. However, they have not provided an unsolicited proposal nor assisted with the SOW.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)			16A. Name of Contracting Officer				
			Annie R. Watkins				
15B. Name of Contractor		15C. Date Signed		16B. District of Columbia		16C. Date Signed	
				<i>Annie R. Watkins</i>		8/13/08	

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. Contract Number		Page of Pages		
					1 1		
2. Amendment/Modification Number		3. Effective Date		4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.		5. Solicitation Caption	
Amendment A0001		August 12, 2008				Secure our Schools Data Base System	
6. Issued by:			Code		7. Administered by (If other than line 6)		
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office of the Chief Technology Officer 441 4 th Street, N.W., Suite 971N Washington, D.C. 20001					Office of the Chief Technology Officer Telecommunications 441 4 th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001		
8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. street, city, county, state and zip code)				X	9A. Amendment of Solicitation No. DCTO-2008-Q-0226		
TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS					9B. Dated (See Item 11) July 21, 2008		
					10A. Modification of Contractor/Order No.		
Code					10B. Dated (See Item 13)		
Facility							
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended. <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS , IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14							
A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.							
B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of:							
C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended							
D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office.							
14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)							
1. Attachment A consists of questions and answers that were submitted under the solicitation. They are being provided for your information.							
2. The type of market is being changed from set-aside CBE to Open Market.							
3. Delete Section 11.0 thru 11.4.3 and replace with the attached Open Market clauses Section 11.1 thru 11.5.3. Attachment B applies							
4. The closing time and date is changed to 2:00pm, August 23, 2008.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)				16A. Name of Contracting Officer			
				Annie R. Watkins			
15B. Name of Contractor		15C. Date Signed		16B. District of Columbia		16C. Date Signed	

(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	
--	--	------------------------------------	--

The Scope of Work (SOW) defines the "**Project Description**" as:

This project will perform a series of tasks to significantly improve safety at all public schools in the District of Columbia. The tasks include (**1**) institutionalizing a Strategic Planning Team; (**2**) oversight of implementation of the Concept of Operations; (**3**) provide a comprehensive training program, and (**4**) provide a web-based Interactive School Safety Database.

1. **Question 1** - Is the requirement for this procurement to purchase a "combination" of Program Management expertise in SRO programs **and** software development services?

OCP Response: Yes

Or is the District only interested in software development service

2. **Question 2** - **The official OCP solicitation page for DCTO-2008-Q-0226, only provides a section for the pricing of one(1) ITEM NO. - 0001 "Data Base System to track school based incidents". It does not provide place for Pricing section for Tasks 1 - 3.**

OCP Response: The price should be a cumulative price combining Tasks 1-3.

3. **Question**: SOW Goals section: As stated in the SOW calls for the methodology for implementation of a **3 year** plan that tracks identified goals and progress. The current "Period of Performance" section in the RFQ states that the period of performance is date of award to August 31, 2009. Can you please explain who, or what entity will be responsible for tracking and identifying goals for "all" DCPS schools and the assigned SRO's that are assigned to these schools for the subsequent three(3) years?

OCP Response: The contractor will establish a tracking/monitoring process and transition those responsibilities to MPD at the end of the contract period

4 - Question: How many DCPS schools is this system to be implemented for up until August 31, 2009? Past experience in this field tells us that the entire school district (SRO training/Application deployment) cannot be completed within this period. If not, what are the alternatives provided that funding is for only one(1) year ?

OCP Response: MPD will work with the contractor to determine number of schools, based on their initial assessment.

5 - Question: How many SRO's are currently assigned to DCPS schools?

OCP Response: 99

6 - Question: Can we see copy of MOU?

OCP Response: A copy of the MOU will be provided to the selected contractor.

7 - Question: If officers are not currently in schools, will they be placed incrementally or will they be simultaneously deployed as the Contractor rolls out the program?

OCP Response: SRO's are already assigned to schools.

8 - Question: If SRO's are currently deployed, how was the decision to deploy them made?

OCP response: Based on school population, school based incidents, and crime surrounding the school.

9 - Question: Are all officers(SROs) currently deployed at DCPS schools?

OCP Response: Yes

10 - Question: If so, do they all report to a District command, or is SRO command centralized at the MPD?

OCP Response: SROs report to the Inspector, School Security Division

11 - Question: Are their currently agreements with Hawk One and MPD regarding roles and responsibility, and any contractual obligations by vendor Hawk One?

OCP Response: Yes

12 - Question: Are the schools routinely collecting school crime and incident data, and is it currently automated. Is their a standardized reporting format for all schools currently?

OCP Response: Yes

13- Question: If so, Will vendor have access to school crime data, by school.

OCP response: Yes

*14- Are there incumbents that have or are performing this work, or provided an unsolicited proposal for similar services, or assisted with the SOW?

OCP Response: No.

*15 - Is this solicitation requesting specific candidates to perform work or a system? It appears contradictory, for example Item 0001 of the schedule provides the following for "1 Job":

Data Base System to track school based incidents and youth
within the DC Public Schools IAW Attachment A – SOW = 1 Job

The evaluation criteria aligns with the request for “1 Job”:

10.3 TECHNICAL CRITERIA 70 - Points

- 1). **Management of Operations - 25 Points** - The District will evaluate the contractor's methodology and approach to determine if the methodology is feasible, logical, efficient and effective. The contractor's plan must include the type of software system being offered, why the offered software system is advantageous to the Metropolitan Police Department, and delineate how often the system will be refreshed or updated. The contractor must provide monthly qualitative and quantitative reports.
- 2). **Past Performance – 15 Points** - Past Performance Information will be evaluated using three categories of equal importance; (1) Quality of Service (2) Timeliness of Performance and (3) Business Practices/Customer Satisfaction.
- 3). **Understanding the Requirement – 10 Points** - Contractors will be evaluated on its demonstrated – managerial and supervisory ability of key personnel, must demonstrate operational controls, lines of authority for coordination and interface with the government, and illustrate how it will meet, maintain or exceed the customer's expectations as identified in the Statement of Work.
- 4). **Project Plan - 10 Points** - The District will evaluate the contractor's project plan to determine the management approach and ensure quality standards are being met and maintained for the Government of the District of Columbia.
- 5). **Quality Control - 10 points** - The contractor's Quality Control Plan (QCP) must demonstrate the contractor's ability to provide oversight, measurements, and reporting processes to ensure compliance with all contract requirements. Offeror shall provide an approach that will ensure an acceptable level of performance for the services provided to District of Columbia Government.

However, the proposal submittal requirements appear to be looking for specific candidate resumes:

13.1 Please submit one (1) original and three (3) copies of both the signed technical and price quotations in separately sealed envelopes (one technical and price) to the Office of Contracting and Procurement, 441 4th Street, NW, Suite 703 (Bid Room), Washington, D.C. 20001.

All quotes must be received no later than the date and time stated in block 10 of the RFQ form. Technical quotations should include candidate resumes as well as company capability statements and all other information that the District would need for its evaluation. **ONLY THE ORIGINAL RESUME(S) shall contain the candidate name.** 13.5 The Quoter shall provide no more than two (2) resume as part of the response to this solicitation. In addition, no resume shall be longer than three (3) pages in length. Each resume shall be submitted on 8 ½” x 11” bond paper with identical font and formatting.

13.6 ALL RESUME COPIES (EXCLUDING THE ORIGINAL COPY) MUST HAVE THE CANDIDATE’S NAME REMOVED AND NO REFERENCE TO YOUR COMPANY OR COMPANY LOGO. RESUMES SHALL BE IDENTIFIED AS CANDIDATE 1, CANDIDATE 2, OR CANDIDATE 3, ETC. A REFERENCE SHEET MATCHING THE CANDIDATE TO THE CORRESPONDING RESUME MUST BE INCLUDED WITH YOUR SUBMISSION.

OCP Response: Discard paragraph 13.6 in its entirety.

11. **OPEN MARKET CLAUSES WITH NO SUBCONTRACTING SET-ASIDE (SUPPLIES AND SERVICES)**

11.1 **Preferences for Local Businesses, Disadvantaged Businesses, Resident-owned Businesses, Small Businesses, Longtime Resident Businesses, or Local Businesses with Principal Offices Located in an Enterprise Zone**

Under the provisions of the “Small, Local, and Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Development and Assistance Act of 2005” (the Act), Title II, Subtitle N, of the “Fiscal Year 2006 Budget Support Act of 2005”, D.C. Law 16-33, effective October 20, 2005, the District shall apply preferences in evaluating bids or proposals from businesses that are small, local, disadvantaged, resident-owned, longtime resident, or local with a principal office located in an enterprise zone of the District of Columbia.

11.1 **General Preferences**

For evaluation purposes, the allowable preferences under the Act for this procurement are as follows:

11.1.1 Three percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of three points on a 100-point scale for a small business enterprise (SBE) certified by the Small and Local Business Opportunity Commission (SLBOC) or the Department of Small and Local Business Development (DSLBD), as applicable;

11.1.2 Three percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of three points on a 100-point scale for a resident-owned business enterprise (ROB) certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable;

11.1.3 Ten percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of ten points on a 100-point scale for a longtime resident business (LRB) certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable;

11.1.4 Two percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of two points on a 100-point scale for a local business enterprise (LBE) certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable;

11.1.5 Two percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of two points on a 100-point scale for a local business enterprise with its principal office located in an enterprise zone (DZE) and certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable; and

Attachment B
Amendment A0001
DCTO-2008-Q-0226
Save Our Schools Incident Tracking System

11.1.6 Two percent reduction in the bid price or the addition of two points on a 100-point scale for a disadvantaged business enterprise (DBE) certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable.

11.2 **Application of Preferences**

The preferences shall be applicable to prime contractors as follows:

11.2.1 Any prime contractor that is an SBE certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable, will receive a three percent (3%) reduction in the bid price for a bid submitted by the SBE in response to an Invitation for Bids (IFB) or the addition of three points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the SBE in response to a Request for Proposals (RFP).

11.2.2 Any prime contractor that is an ROB certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable, will receive a three percent (3%) reduction in the bid price for a bid submitted by the ROB in response to an IFB or the addition of three points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the ROB in response to an RFP.

11.2.3 Any prime contractor that is an LRB certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable, will receive a ten percent (10%) reduction in the bid price for a bid submitted by the LRB in response to an IFB or the addition of ten points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the LRB in response to an RFP.

11.2.4 Any prime contractor that is an LBE certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable, will receive a two percent (2%) reduction in the bid price for a bid submitted by the LBE in response to an IFB or the addition of two points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the LBE in response to an RFP.

11.2.5 Any prime contractor that is a DZE certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable, will receive a two percent (2%) reduction in the bid price for a bid submitted by the DZE in response to an IFB or the addition of two points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the DZE in response to an RFP.

11.2.6 Any prime contractor that is a DBE certified by the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable, will receive a two percent (2%) reduction in the bid price for a bid submitted by the DBE in response to an IFB or the addition of two points on a 100-point scale added to the overall score for proposals submitted by the DBE in response to an RFP.

11.3 **Maximum Preference Awarded**

Notwithstanding the availability of the preceding preferences, the maximum total preference to which a certified business enterprise is entitled under the Act for this procurement is twelve percent (12%) for bids submitted in response to an IFB or the equivalent of twelve (12) points on a 100-point scale for proposals submitted in response to an RFP. There will be no preference awarded for subcontracting by the prime contractor with certified business enterprises.

11.4 **Preferences for Certified Joint Ventures**

When the SLBOC or the DSLBD, as applicable, certifies a joint venture, the certified joint venture will receive preferences as a prime contractor for categories in which the joint venture and the certified joint venture partner are certified, subject to the maximum preference limitation set forth in the preceding paragraph.

11.5 **Vendor Submission for Preferences**

11.5.1 Any vendor seeking to receive preferences on this solicitation must submit at the time of, and as part of its bid or proposal, the following documentation, as applicable to the preference being sought:

11.5.1.1 Evidence of the vendor's or joint venture's certification by the SLBOC as an SBE, LBE, DBE, DZE, LRB, or RBO, to include a copy of all relevant letters of certification from the SLBOC; or

11.5.1.2 Evidence of the vendor's or joint venture's provisional certification by the DSLBD as an SBE, LBE, DBE, DZE, LRB, or RBO, to include a copy of the provisional certification from the DSLBD.

11.5.2 Any vendor seeking certification or provisional certification in order to receive preferences under this solicitation should contact the:

Department of Small and Local Business Development
ATTN: LSDBE Certification Program
441 Fourth Street, N.W., Suite 970N
Washington, DC 20001

Attachment B
Amendment A0001
DCTO-2008-Q-0226
Save Our Schools Incident Tracking System

11.5.3 All vendors are encouraged to contact the DSLBD at (202) 727-3900 if additional information is required on certification procedures and requirements.