


AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. Contract Number	Page of Pages	
				1	23
2. Amendment/Modification Number	3. Effective Date	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption		
Amendment A0003	1/28/2009		Content Management System		
6. Issued by:		Code	7. Administered by (If other than line 6)		
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office of the Chief Technology Officer 441 4 th Street, N.W., Suite 971N Washington, D.C. 20001					
8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. street, city, county, state and zip code)		X	9A. Amendment of Solicitation No.		
TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS			DCTO-2009-R-0082		
			9B. Dated (See Item 11)		
			12/31/2008		
			10A. Modification of Contractor/Order No.		
			10B. Dated (See Item 13)		
Code	Facility				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS , IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14					
A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.					
B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of:					
C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended					
D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office.					
14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
a. The purpose of this amendment is to respond to questions asked at the pre proposal conference dated 1/15/2009 with responses on pages 2 through 24.					
b. Change block 6 of page 1 entitled Solicitation Offer and Award from open to open with sub contracting set aside.					
c. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name of Contracting Officer			
		Kenneth Morrow			
15B. Name of Contractor	15C. Date Signed	16B. District of Columbia		16C. Date Signed	
				1-28-09	

1. **Question:** We are interested in bidding on this Enterprise Content Management System with DC DOC and were interested in knowing what the current method/application is implemented for DOC to perform these functions presently? Is there is an Incumbent? If so, which firm?

Response: We currently use a combination of manual processing through a hard copy inmate jacket and use two applications called the Offender Management System by DSI as well as an in-house solution called the Release Processing System. No incumbent.

2. **Question:** Can the contractor bid a BPM and ECM system separately?

Response: Bid should be for one all-inclusive solution

3. **Question:** Can the contractor bid a system that provides integration of a BPM and ECM system?

Response: Yes

4. **Question:** Within the SF33 form it states 1 original and 5 copies for proposal submission; however, in section L.2 (P. 38), it states 1 original and 3 copies. What is the correct number of copies which should be submitted?

Response: 1 original 5 copies

5. **Question:** In the Past Performance section it states in brackets (submit 3 copies), should that instead read "submit 3 past performances?"

Response: The contractor shall submit at least three past performance references. If more are required, simply provide what is needed to ensure the District clearly understand the referenced experience.

6. **Question:** Section C11-C13 are not included in the proposal, is this just a numbering error?

Response: Simply a numbering error

7. **Question:** Does the prime and sub each need to send 3 past performances totaling 6 past performances?

Response: The contractor shall submit at least three past performance references. If more are required, simply provide what is needed to ensure the District clearly understand the referenced experience.

8. **Question:** Page 11 section C.9.1.1 what versions of the Microsoft Office Suite of products does ECM need to integrate with?

Response: MS Office 2003

9. **Question:** Page 12, section C.15.1 states "Contractor shall provide telephone systems support post implementation". Does this mean Help Desk support?

Response: Yes, support for the implemented solution.

10. **Question:** Page 33, where do responses for section K go into? The "Technical Proposal" section or the "Price Proposal" section?

Response: Submit it with the cost proposal.

11. **Question:** Page 38-39, page 38 paragraph one (L.2) states "proposals shall be submitted in two parts, titled "Technical Proposal" and "Price Proposal". What parts listed (Part I, II, III) shall be included within each section?

Response: The parts are clearly listed.

12. **Question:** Page 39, Past Performance Information paragraph, 3 references for each sub factor for a total of 9 references?

Response: The contractor shall submit at least three past performance references. If more are required, simply provide what is needed to ensure the District clearly understand the referenced experience.

13. **Question:** Pg. 12 Section C.15.1 states that "on-site support" and "telephone systems support" will be required. What level of on-site support is necessary (e.g. 24 hour, 7 days a week)? And for what time period after implementation is the on-site support needed?

Response: The month post-implementation should have on-site support during peak system usage hours (approx 10 hours a day, noon-10pm) and phone support the remainder of the time.

14. **Question:** Pg. 7 Section C.4.1 states that that the "DOCRO keeps released inmate files (inactive) on hand for a period of 3 months prior to archival". Will the Dept. Of Corrections COTS based Content Management System subject to the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) guidelines and policies?

No.

15. Question: Is there an average number of documents per active inmates?

Response: Approximately 10-15.

16. Question: What is the total number of active inmates?

Response: 3000 in the facility, an additional 1000-2000 records will be on hand for the 3 month period post-release.

17. Question: How many indexing/scanning user licenses of the system will be required by the Dept. of Corrections?

Response: Vendor should make recommendation as part of proposed solution.

18. Question: Pg. 38 Part I: Business Organization and Reputation. Is this to be incorporated into the technical proposal response (Part III)? If so, what is the page limitation for this section?

Response: a 10 Page limit

19. Pg. 39 Part II: Contracting/Pricing. Are there any page limitations to this section?

Response: No page limit listed

20. Pg. 39 Section L.2 Proposal Form, Organization/Content. Is there a standard font type or font size requested by the government for this response?

Response: see page 38

21. Question - Is the DOC looking for a COTS Content Management platform such as a FileNet or SharePoint that can be tailored to its specific needs OR is the DOC looking for a COTS product that is pre-configured to only track inmates.

Response: Vendor should propose best solution.

22. Question - Does the District desire a long term records management solution for e-discovery, litigation or simply having a historical record of who, what and when things were executed?

Response: No requirement for e-discovery.

23. Question – The quantity is specified as 9 months. Is this just the expected duration of the project? How is this different from CLIN 0001AC?

Response: Yes, this is the expected duration of the project

24. Question – The quantity is specified as 5 each. Does this mean 5 people are to be trained along with 5 copies of the training materials?

Response: 5 training sessions. There are 40-50 people to be trained and will all need training materials.

25. Question - DOC states in the background section that inmates are processed 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Will DOC require phone and on-site support during implementation?

Response: Yes, on-site support post-implementation for the primary processing times (noon-10pm Mon-Fri) and phone support the remaining time.

26. Question - Please confirm the Contractor is to procure and deploy all: Hardware including scanners, redundant backup systems, networking, workstations, printers, servers etc. Software including Content Management platform, ingest (scanning) solution and any necessary network applications

Response: Yes, this is part of the proposal package.

27. Question - Does DOC require scanning equipment and software upgrade/replacement?

Response: Yes.

28. Question - What software is being used for scanning?

Response: Paperclip

29. Question -: Please explain what defines or constitutes an inmate file (how many hard copy pages / soft copy pages per file), how many inmate files are processed, and how many transactions per file.

Response: Summary of inmate information including demographics, charges, program information as well as papers from court including commitments and release/sentencing orders. There will also be pages from various other sources but the previously mentioned are the most common.

30. Question - Will DOC require 24 hours support from the vendor or do they require hardware/software redundancy?

Response: Yes to both.

- 31. Question:** C.4.1 DOCRO keeps released inmate files (inactive) on hand for a period of 3 months prior to archival. Is this a requirement to provide additional electronic storage?

Response: The inactive files are hard copies of the inmate record. There may be a need for additional storage, but the requirement is not related to additional storage, but a requirement for the solution to keep records for 3 months post-release.

- 32. Question -** Is there a requirement to transmit and receive data files from internal and external agencies using an API, flat files or via an email application?

Response: It is not a requirement but may be a part of the proposed solution.

- 33. Question -** Does DOC have the support from other organizations/agencies to become a paperless system and request all interactions be electronic?

Response: This project is a high priority for the DC City Administrator.

- 34. Question -** Please define the Districts definition of 100% quality assurance

Response: A bug-free system per specifications. No errors in computations or processing per specifications.

- 35. Question -** Will DOC provide the testing hardware or should this be calculated in the hardware costs?

Response: The DOC has limited testing hardware.

- 36. Question -** Will DOC participate in testing or require a User Acceptance testing?

Response: Yes to both. The DOC will be very active in the entire process.

- 37. Question -** Is the intent of the DOC that the contractor will procure and deploy the redundant environment?

Response: Yes, or at the very least develop a plan for the DOC to implement for redundancies.

- 38. Question -** Please provide a list and definition (software languages and hardware platform) of the internal and external systems that must be interfaced with. Also provide any preferences for integration methods and the nature of any data that

must be integrated. Please provide entity-relationship diagrams (ERD) for the databases of systems to be integrated.

Response: The Offender Management System (OMS) and Centricity Electronic Medical Record (EMR) are on an Oracle 10g platform. The OMS will be a required interface and as few as 5 data fields from the EMR *may* be integrated. Two or three very small .NET SQL Server based utilities may need to be integrated, but this also is limited. There are no complex legacy mainframe or other non-standardized databases that will require integration. An ERD is not available for distribution.

39. Question – Pleased provide a list of existing DOC IT equipment.

Response: We have computers, fax machines, scanners, servers, printers, and a tremendous amount of other IT equipment. The list is extensive. The contractor should propose a complete solution, including hardware.

40. Question - Is it the intent of DOC to purchase File Net in the future or use an existing File Net system owned by DOC?

Response: It is not the intent unless part of a winning proposal with respect to this RFP. There is no existing DOC File Net system.

41. Question - What document types/format are currently being stored?

Response: MS Office Suite, emails, scanned images via Paperclip, pdf, etc.

42. Question - What version of Microsoft Office is used by DOC? 2003 or 2007?

Response: 2003

43. Question -: Is PDF an acceptable file format? If so, is Full Text PDF acceptable?

Response: If it meets the requirements laid out in the RFP, yes.

44. Question -: Please describe the nature of the full text searches. Examples will be helpful.

Response: Searching for names, document types, charges, etc.

45. Question: Contractor shall ensure ECM system utilizes standard Application Programming Interface (API) and Software Development kit (SDK) **Question** - What "standard" APIs and SDKs are you referring to?

Response: Commonly used APIs and SDKs. The spirit is nothing proprietary that would prevent further customization through other sources.

46. Question: C.9.1.4 Contractor shall provide a data capture solution capable of "out of the box" integration with the ECM system. This system should be capable of capturing information/documents from, but not limited to data forms, emails, faxes, external databases, internal databases, and scanned documents. Contractor shall provide a data capture solution that is capable of utilizing Optical Character Recognition (OCR) technology to extract metadata automatically. This system will require the capability to interpret a large amount of handwritten data in both free and standardized forms. Please define the phrase "large amount" in the last sentence. How many forms? How many different types of forms? How many fields of data are to be extracted? What error criteria is the OCR'd text to be judged against?

Response: Large amount means majority of our forms. Most from the court are hand-written. Perhaps 10-15 consistent sets of forms make up 75-85% of the total forms. Fields of data to be extracted depends on form, but the main data will be identifier, name, date(s), charge(s), sentence(s), release order, type of form. No standard error criteria, but industry standards will be assumed.

47. Question - Is DOC requiring any rules based data capture based on form type?

Response: Yes. Receipt of certain forms will drive certain processes, particularly at intake, sentencing, and release.

48. Question - Does DOC have a standard CBT program used in the agency?

Response: No.

49. Question – How many CBT lessons are to be developed?

Response: As many as are needed to train staff.

50. Question - The timeline can be interpreted as having five (5) days allotted for training. The request for train the trainer, developer, end user training will more than likely require more than 5 days. Is DOC flexible on the timeline for training?

Response: Yes.

51. Question - Will the system interface with any legacy systems other than OMS to retrieve archived records?

Response: Possibly, but OMS will be the main system. See response on external systems.

52. Question – What development environment is OCS written in? Please provide an entity-relationship diagram (ERD) for the database. How often must data be transferred from/to this system? How many fields need to be transferred?

Response: See response on external systems.

53. Question - Will DOC require the Contractor to perform document conversions, data mapping or migrations from legacy systems?

Response: Yes, but with assistance from DOC.

54. Question – How many applications must be interfaced with? What development environment are these applications written in? Please provide an entity-relationship diagram (ERD) for all databases. How often must data be transferred from/to these systems? How many fields need to be transferred?

Response: See response on external systems.

55. Question – How many inmate records must be scanned? How many pages per record?

Response: As many as 5000 and assume 25 sheets per file. This is a high estimate.

56. Question: F.3.1 Project plan and timeline shall be developed by contractor and approved by DOC Project Timeline (Post Award) **Question** - Are there any other Software Development Life Cycle document deliverables required?

Response: System specifications, test cases, use cases, change requests, transition plan, to name a few. There are many document deliverables required as part of any SDLC.

57. Question - In the DOC Records Office process the Face Sheet, Finger Print card and Property Sheet are received. The items are scanned into the document management system? Does DOC use paper fingerprinting only? Does DOC plan to use digitized fingerprinting in the near future or require this flexibility?

Response: We can do digitized fingerprinting, but only perform for Federal inmates. Inmates processed by Metro PD already have a fingerprint scan and we incorporate that into our intake file.

58. Question - Will DOC expect automatic calculators/business rules in the application to calculate jail credits or sentence calculators?

Response: Yes. But this is an algorithm based on information that the records office personnel input. The sentence computation is not an automated process.

59. Question - Will DOC require fax out capabilities to other agencies i.e. Federal Bureau of Prisons.

Response: This would be a helpful feature.

60. Question - Will DOC require an interface to Court view?

Response: If possible, but not a requirement. A screen scrape of a view of Court view may be the best we can get.

61. Question - Will DOC required or accept electronic signatures from other agencies now or in the near future?

Response: It's not a requirement.

62. Question - Does DOC have any additional business process than what has been documented in Appendix A?

Response: Those in appendix A are the primary processes. There are other processes, but they are variations on what is presented in Appendix A. There may be as many as 30-40 that DOC will provide at the beginning of the project, but those in appendix A are the core processes.

63. Question: Is the daily image volume 1000 single-sided pages per day? And the backfile of active inmates between 40,000-100,000 single-sided pages?

Response: Predominantly single sided but there may be a subset of multi-sided.

64. Question: DOC indicated that the bulk of scanning would be "tunneled" to a single location...but that a second scanning system within the DOCRO might be desirable to capture internal forms. Is the second scanner in the same building as the primary scanning system, or in a separate building? If in a separate building, is it on a LAN, or on a WAN?

Response: The second scanning system would most likely be for paperwork generated inside the facility and reside at the records office or just outside. It would be on the same network.

65. Response: What is the current fax server? What is the daily volume of faxes? Will you want to scan these faxes? Will you want to ingest faxes directly into the repository of the case management system?

Response: Lotus Notes.

66. Question: You identified three primary fields to be indexed. A six character inmate ID field, Name and Birth date field. Are these three fields the only ones to capture? Does the name field have to be three separate data fields (Last, First and Middle) or a single field?

Response: No, there will be other captures. Those will be the main index fields but vendor may propose more as part of the solution. Handling of name field should be part of the proposed vendor solution.

67. Question: You indentified 20-30 standard form types. Does each form type require all of the three fields to be extracted or only from one primary form? Are there any additional fields to be extracted from each form type? Will there be an identifying form number/name on every form? Please provide a sample of each form.

Response: Some forms have all 3 and some don't. At the very least, some inmate identifier will be present (DCDC number or PDID number). There may be additional fields to be extracted and in most cases there are identifying form number/titles for the forms (it's rare, but system should allow for "random" pieces of paper). A list of documents is attached (Attachments A-G) to give a better idea of what forms are used. Attachment A is one of the most common and is the Judgment and Commitment form that is used for every inmate receiving a sentence. As you can see, this is an example of a handwritten form. At times, this can be typed, but not always. The information in this form should all be captured automatically where possible and entered by end users when not possible. This is an example of the interface between OMS and the proposed system as the information contained in this for will need to flow to OMS upon capture (OMS screen shots where this information is stored is on Attachment D and E). Additionally, there is a standard Release Form attached (Att. B), a copy of the prisoner transfer request between the jail and courts (Att. C), a screen shot of a Federal Criminal History search (Att. F1 and F2), and a screen shot of our release processing electronic form (Att G). These all serve in one way or another in the transfer, judgment and release of an inmate from the facility. Note that Attachment A and B are forms that we receive from the court and the remaining are screen captures that are available to us through the DOC records office.

68. Question: You indicated that it "would be nice" to "pull charge and sentencing information" off of the forms, requiring OCR of free form scripted text information. Is this a requirement for the initial stage of the project?

Response: At the very least a feasibility analysis for the initial stage is required. If it can't be done then we look to alternatives, but the attempt should be made to meet this request.

69. Question: Please clarify submission requirements. Does DOC wish to receive "sealed offers in original and 5 copies' (Solicitation, Offer, and Award block 9)" or "One original and *three* (3) copies...Offeror shall submit (1) electronic copy" (L.2)?

Response: 1 original and 5 copies

70. Question: What is the existing system? And what is the back end infrastructure for existing system?

Response: DSI's OMS system. It sits on an Oracle 10g environment.

71. Question: Will DCDOC make available the DC SOA Technical Reference Model?

Response: We will make available all materials after the winning bid. The RFP contains process flows as an appendix. There is also a list of questions answered and attached example forms.

72. Question: Can contractor include innovative technologies and processes in their proposal?

Response: The DOC fears neither technological nor process innovation.

73. Question: Is there a defined onsite maintenance service level (i.e. # hours required to issue resolution)?

Response: The DOC has an IT help desk with various service levels depending on the problem.

74. Question: Will DCDOC make available a physical connectivity diagram between all facilities?

Response: This is not relevant for the proposal at hand.

75. Question: The RFP calls for a COTs solution, but will DOC consider a commercially available "Hosted" solution, that addresses all the requirements as set forth in the RFP?

Response: The DOC will host the solution within its network.

76. Question: What is the type and connection speed, connecting the two primary facilities (CDF&CRF)? DOC Headquarters and the various halfway houses?

Response: There is up to a 50 MBPS connection between CTF and CDF (where

the system will be housed). Between HQ and CDF, the connection is currently 1 MBPS, but we have a bandwidth on demand agreement and can increase if necessary. Bandwidth should not be an issue.

77. Question: Will the proposed system be housed in DOCs Datacenter?

Response: Yes

78. Question: If yes: does the Datacenter account for redundant power, bandwidth, cooling, hardware and other environmental?

Response: Yes.

79. Question: What is DOCs anticipated/expected response time for telephone support?

Response: Whatever industry standards are.

80. Question: What is DOC's anticipated/expected response time?

Response: Whatever industry standards are.

81. Question: We understand that the DC Government already owns licenses for the FileNet software. If we propose FileNet as part of our solution, will the District require that the vendor propose the necessary license costs for FileNet or will the District purchase the software separately?

Response: Vendor should propose a solution and the DOC will evaluate and go with the most cost effective option upon award. A vendor license proposal should be part of the package. However, the DOC may purchase FileNet licenses through a procurement vehicle with IBM provided by the Office of the Chief Technology Officer (OCTO) which may or may not be more cost effective.

82. Question: would like to request that the proposal due date be extended.

Response: The Closing Date is changed from 02/02/2009 to 02/13/2009.

83. Question: Since we cannot walk through, can we get copies of the forms (blank or redacted)?

Response: Some of the forms are included in a separate attachment pertaining to these responses.

84. Question: What is the weight of the paper? 20lbs, etc...This will determine whether we can use a high speed scanner or not.

Response: Assume varying paper weight.

85. Regarding Section L.12, when is the redacted electronic copy of the proposal due?

Response: After award of the contract.

86. Regarding C.9.1, is compliance with DoD 5015.2-STD a requirement?

Response: Yes

87. Is DOC providing the appropriate workstations for the capture software (preferred)?

Response: Yes

88. How many total pages will be scanned in total or each year?

Response: Approximately 350,000-400,000

89. How many pages are there per file?

Response: 10-20

90. How many document types are there within each file?

Response: 10-15 are fairly standard forms, but all the documents in each file will likely be different

91. How many different fields need to be captured from each document type?

Response: Between 4-8

92. What percentage of those fields are hand written versus typed?

Response: 30-35% may be hand written

93. **Questions:** Are there database lookups for each of these fields?

Response: Not sure what is meant by database lookups. There are typically corresponding fields in our offender management system to the data on the sheets which is why we want to capture it.

94. Is there an expected throughput per day for scanning? For data entry?

Response: 1,000-1,500 pages per day of scanning. Not sure how to measure data

entry, but there will be some. These things will be done by the Records Office personnel, however, and not the vendor.

95. In reference to RFP Section C.5.2.1, are the personnel resumes requested counted against the 30 page limitation of Part III: Technical Capability and Past Performance?

Response: No.

96. Given that questions and answers have not been released by the government to date, is it possible that the government would be willing to extend the proposal deadline?

Response: A revision to the proposal deadline has been submitted.

ATT: A

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

United States of America
District of Columbia

Case No.: [REDACTED]

PDID No.: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Jerry D. Wells

JUDGMENT AND COMMITMENT/PROBATION ORDER

The above-named defendant having entered a plea of Not Guilty Guilty to the Charge(s) of

1- attempted operating after suspension
3- No permit

and having been found guilty by Jury Court, it is hereby ORDERED that the defendant has been convicted of and is guilty of the offense(s) charged, and is hereby SENTENCED to

G1 60 Days E.S.S. \$1000.00

G3 30 Days concurrent E.S.S.

G1+3 (18) Months concurrent unsupervised probation

- MANDATORY MINIMUM term of _____ applies to the sentence imposed
- MANDATORY MINIMUM term does not apply.
- ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for imprisonment for the period imposed above.
- ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for treatment and supervision provided by the D.C. Department of Corrections pursuant to Title 24, Section 903[b] of the D.C. Code [Youth Rehabilitation Act 1985].
- ORDERED that the defendant be placed on probation in charge of the Director, Social Services Division, and it is further ORDERED that while on probation the defendant observe the following marked conditions of probation:
 - Observe the general conditions of probation listed on the back of this order.
 - Cooperate in seeking and accepting medical, psychological or psychiatric treatment in accordance with written notice from your Probation Officer.
 - Treatment for alcohol problems drug dependency or abuse as follows: _____
 - Restitution of \$ _____ in monthly installments of \$ _____ beginning _____ (see reverse side for payment instructions.)
 - The Court will distribute monies to _____

Costs in the aggregate amount of \$ \$150.00 have been assessed under the Victims of Violent Crime Compensation Act of 1981, and have have not been paid.

ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a true copy of this order to appropriate authorized official(s) and that the copy shall serve the commitment/order for the defendant.

6/9/08
Date

Certification by Clerk pursuant to Criminal Rule 32(d).

6/9/08
Date

[REDACTED]
Judge
[REDACTED]
Deputy Clerk



**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CRIMINAL DIVISION**

**ATT:
B**

UNITED STATES
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Case No: [REDACTED]

vs.

JERRY D WELLS

CDP

PDID: 975029

DCDC# [REDACTED]

RELEASE ORDER

TO: Superintendent, D.C. Jail

It is HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant be released from custody in this case.


Tanna McDowell
Tanna McDowell, DEPUTY CLERK

Date: June 9, 2008

[Signature]
RICHARD H RINGELL
JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

RELEASE

Received by DUSM: _____
Printed Name Badge#: Signature: Date: Time: _____



Cdro.doc

ATT: C

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
 DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
 PRISONER TRANSFER REQUEST FOR

DATE: 06/09/08 SUPPLEMENTAL PAGE

LOCATION	NO.	DOCKET	LAST	FIRST	MI	EDID	DOB	JUDICIAL OFFICER	DCDC
CDF	212	[REDACTED]	ARMOUR	CHRISTOPHER		[REDACTED]	93-27	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
NRF	213	[REDACTED]	CRUZ	IBIS		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
REL	214	[REDACTED]	DUDLEY	ANDRE		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	215	[REDACTED]	EVANS	MAURICE		[REDACTED]	NE3-57	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CTF	216	[REDACTED]	HARDY	TERRI		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	217	[REDACTED]	JAMES	JERMAINE		[REDACTED]	NE3-11	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CTF	218	[REDACTED]	JOHNSON	TERESA		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
NRF	219	[REDACTED]	JORDAN	WILLIS		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	220	[REDACTED]	MASON	ANTHONY		[REDACTED]	NE3-40	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	221	[REDACTED]	MCCOY	VERTIS		[REDACTED]	NE3-53	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	222	[REDACTED]	REYNOLDS	WESTLY		[REDACTED]	NE3-15	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	223	[REDACTED]	SMITH	ERNIE		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
REL	224	[REDACTED]	TAYLOR	ANTHONY		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	225	[REDACTED]	WELLS	JERRY		[REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
CDF	226	[REDACTED]	JOHNSON	HAROLD		[REDACTED]	NE3-89	CRTM# 315	[REDACTED]

ATT: D

JACCS - MetaFrame Presentation Server Client

Offender Management System for Windows Version 6.1.1, You are logged in as ALL JAIL FACILITIES & 100010

File Maintenance Batch Processing Accounting Inmate Inventory Modules Medical Offlog Reports Individual Reports ZHelp

Alerts: Name: WELLS-SIMPSON, JERRY DONNELL Section: Block: [REDACTED]

Birth: [REDACTED] Sex: M Race: B Release: 06/09/2008 Type: 15 SS#: [REDACTED] Booking#: 2008-07286 DCDC#: [REDACTED] Booked Date: 06/07/2008 Classification: [REDACTED]

Cell: [REDACTED] Bed: [REDACTED] Location: 78

Charge Info | Hearing Info | Arrest Info | Bond Info | Sentence Info

Offense Information

Case/Docket Number: [REDACTED] Offender Tracking Number: [REDACTED]

Offense Code: [REDACTED] Days in on this Offense: [REDACTED]

Offense Description: [REDACTED]

Grade: [REDACTED] Degree: [REDACTED] Count: [REDACTED] Weight: [REDACTED]

Offense Flags: [REDACTED] Offense Identifier: [REDACTED]

Date of Offense: [REDACTED] Reason for Release: [REDACTED]

Discharge Date: [REDACTED] Date/Time Booked on Offense: [REDACTED] A/C Code: [REDACTED]

Conviction Date: [REDACTED] Booking Authority on this Offense: [REDACTED]

Jurisdiction Code: [REDACTED] Offense Disposition: [REDACTED]

Youthful Offender: [REDACTED] Disposition Date: [REDACTED]

Judge: WELLS-SIMPSON Magistrate Docket: [REDACTED]

Parole Information

Parole Violator: [REDACTED] Automatic Parole: [REDACTED]

State or County: [REDACTED] Probation Violator: [REDACTED]

Parole/Probation Officer: [REDACTED] Charge Enhancements: [REDACTED]

Original Offense Information

Original Offense Code: [REDACTED] DHA Information

Original Offense Grade: [REDACTED] DHA Test Required: [REDACTED]

Print Search Next History Copy Lookup O/ops Bonds OTN Search Abort

CHARGES.CASENUM: 7

Booking
Account
Alias
Billing Agency
Bond
Btch Prtry
Charges
Classify
Clothing
Crimstry Hist
Commissary
Ctry Lmt
Detainers
Drugs
Education
Employment
Grievances
Housing
ID's
Incidents
Mail Log
Medical
Med Vists

OMS-Charges

ATT: E

JACCS - MetaFrame Presentation Server Client

Offender Management System for Windows Version 6.1.1.1 - Offense: 0607/2008

File Maintenance Bond Processing Accounting Inmate Inventory Modules Medical Office Reports Individual Reports Help

Alerts: Name: WELLS-SIMPSON, JERRY DONNELL Section: Black

Admt: Cell: Bed: Location 78

Birth	Sex	Race	Release	Type	SS#	Booking#	DCBC#	Booked Date	Classification
	M	B	06/09/2008	15		2008-07286		06/07/2008	

Bond Master Information

Bond Type	Special Conditions
Bond as Assessed	
Cash Alternative	Court Date
Date of Bond Set	Court Location
Bond Set By	Bond Posted By
Bond Status	Bond Posted Date
Releasing Officer	Additional Amount

Balance: \$1,000.00

Print Search Close Lock Save Abort

- Booking
- Account
- Alias
- Billing Agency
- Bond
- Birth Priority
- Charges
- Classify
- Clothing
- Crimstry Hist
- Commissary
- Clery Lmt
- Detainers
- Drugs
- Education
- Employment
- Grievances
- Housing
- ID's
- Incidents
- Mail Log
- Medical
- Med Visits

OMS-Bond Info

WALES

ATT: D

WALES RECORD FOUND - TO GENERATE NCIC INQUIRY PRESS THE ENTER KEY.

***** P/
 ID RECORD IND= [REDACTED] INT= 09:54 UPD= [REDACTED] RECORD STATUS= 3
 WELLS, JERRY DONNELL DOB= [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
 EYES= [REDACTED] HAIR= [REDACTED] SKIN= [REDACTED]
 ADDR= [REDACTED] POB= [REDACTED]
 AKA= [REDACTED]
 AKA= [REDACTED]
 SCARS= [REDACTED]
 SOC'S= [REDACTED]
 PDID#= [REDACTED] DCDC#= [REDACTED] FBI#= [REDACTED]
 ID COMMENTS= [REDACTED]
 FPC= [REDACTED]
 WIN= I2073137

CLEARED WARRANT IND= [REDACTED] INT= [REDACTED]
 CW DC001021J WARRANT#= [REDACTED] WARRANT DATE= [REDACTED]
 OFF= [REDACTED] TYPE= [REDACTED]
 WARRANT HELD BY DC SUPERIOR CT 879-1377 WILL NOT EXTRADITE
 SUBJECT IS/HAS

TO DISPLAY MORE DATA PRESS THE PA2 KEY

Criminal Background

ATT: 67

Current RELEASE AUTHORIZATION FORM

Inmate's Name		Institution	Date Prepared 06/09/2008	Release Date 06/09/2008 Release Time
DOB				
Release/Transfer Type	Releasing Authority		Release in custody of	
Detainer <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No		Placed by		
Charges on file released <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	NCIC Check Completed <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
Bonds on file released <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	NCIC Check Result <input type="radio"/> Neg <input type="radio"/> Pos			
Detainers on file released <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Checked for Inmate Identification <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
CourtView Review Completed <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	Checked for Loose Commitments <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No			
Is inmate a Juvenile (age:) <input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No				
Special Instructions (if any):				
Fax Log				
To be completed by R & D CONTROL or HALFWAY HOUSE STAFF:				
Name of staff identifying inmate:				
To be completed by AGENCY TAKING CUSTODY:				
I have received the above named inmate, together with his/her Inmate Records (if applicable)	Name:	Signature:	Date:	
	Title:			
Location:				
Print 3 copies for the following parties: 1. Inmate Record 2. R&D Copy 3. Inmate Copy				
(ENSURE ALL COPIES ARE SIGNED)				
<small>Form L: Release Authorization Form</small>				
Meds No medications on record No MEDS				