


AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. Contract Number	Page of Pages
			1	30
2. Amendment/Modification Number	3. Effective Date	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption	
Amendment A0003	October 30, 2008	RQ404386	Development of Arrest & Booking, Case Management Modules	
6. Issued by:		Code	7. Administered by (If other than line 6)	
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office of the Chief Technology Officer 441 4 th Street, N.W., Suite 971N Washington, D.C. 20001			Office of the Chief Technology Officer Telecommunications 441 4 th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001	
8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. street, city, county, state and zip code)		X	9A. Amendment of Solicitation No.	
TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS			DCTO-2009-R-0003	
Code			9B. Dated (See Item 11)	
Facility			September 19, 2008	
			10A. Modification of Contractor/Order No.	
			10B. Dated (See Item 13)	
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended. <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.				
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS , IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14				
A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2(b) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.				
B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of:				
C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR 3601.2 Change Clause, 27 DCMR 2005.6(d) as amended				
D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return one (1) copy to the issuing office.				
14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)				
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A Pre-Proposal Conference was held on October 29, 2008. The attendance list is hereby attached as are draft questions and answers. 2. This amendment is the last request for questions which will be accepted until 2:00pm, November 5, 2008. 3. Remove old Section B, Price Schedule and replace with attached new Section B, Price Schedule. 4. The closing time and date for receipt of offers is changed to 2:00pm, November 17, 2008. 5. The District may award a single contract or multiple contracts resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offerors(s) whose offer, responsive to the solicitation, is judged most advantageous to the District, cost or price, technical and other factors considered. 				
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document is referenced in Item 9A or 10A remain unchanged and in full force and effect.				
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name of Contracting Officer		
		Kenneth Morrow		
15B. Name of Contractor	15C. Date Signed	16B. District of Columbia	16C. Date Signed	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			10/30/08	
		(Signature of Contracting Officer)		

SECTION B: SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICE

B.1 The Government of the District of Columbia, Office of Contracting and Procurement, on behalf of The Metropolitan Police Department (MPD), the District, is seeking a contractor to provide software support services to implement the Arrest & Booking Module and the Case Management Module for MPD's Records Management System (RMS).

B.2 The District contemplates award of a Firm Fixed Price (FFP) contract.

B.3 PRICE SCHEDULE

Contract Line Item No. (CLIN)	Item Description	Total Price
0001	Provide Development Support Services for the Arrest & Booking and Case Management Modules IAW C.3 thru C.3.9 and C.4 thru C.5.19 of the Work Statement.	\$ _____
0001AA	Project Plan & Project Schedule	\$ _____
0001AB	Validate and finalize the module requirements provided in Attachments A & B	\$ _____
0001AC	Design and configure case management, arrest and booking module in accordance with MPD requirements, database schema and technical standards	\$ _____
0001AD	Develop case management, arrest and booking module in accordance with accepted design and MPD standards	\$ _____
0001AE	Integrate the modules into the overall RMS	\$ _____
0001AF	Conduct systems functionality tests	\$ _____
0001AG	Conduct user functionality tests	\$ _____
0001AH	Conduct end-to-end tests of the modules	\$ _____
0001AI	Operational Deployment	\$ _____
Total Price		\$ _____

B.3 Price Schedule – Firm Fixed Price

Option Period 1

Contract Line Item No. (CLIN)	Item Description	QTY	Unit of Issue	Unit Price	Extended Price
1001	Provide Maintenance Support Services for the Arrest & Booking and Case Management Modules IAW C.11 of the Work Statement.	12	Months	\$ _____	\$ _____
1002	License Agreement for Arrest & Booking Module	12	Months	\$ _____	\$ _____
1003	License Agreement for the Case Management Module	12	Months	\$ _____	\$ _____
Total Price					\$ _____

1. In order for us to properly assess the needs of the MPD, it would be helpful for us to speak with the current system administrator and users. Can we view current legacy systems and interview some of these people?

Answer Q1: Upon contract award, the vendor will have access to the database administrators for the current legacy systems, both from a technical and business process standpoint and/or will have access to the results of the interviews already being done by the Data Warehouse vendor.

2. Is there or will there be steering committees and/or key individuals involved in managing through and with the consent judgment? Will there be key contacts within MDP?

Answer Q2: Yes, MPD has both a project management team and an executive steering committee that will be overseeing this project. The selected vendor will be given key contact names upon contract award.

3. How many arrests and bookings are reasonable to assume on a daily, weekly, monthly, and annual basis?

Answer Q3: The current and future arrest & booking systems include arrests from MPD as well as several other law enforcement agencies with arresting authority in the District of Columbia. Approximately 80 percent of all arrests are made by MPD members, and the Department reports about 50,000 arrests per year, or 4,000 per month, for the whole city.

4. Currently there are 7 divisions. How many location and terminals in each location? Will there be off-site access, and how many potential users may have access at any one time?

Answer Q4: MPD has 4,200 sworn members, 2,500 workstations and 800 total laptops, including Mobile Data Terminals (MDTs). Please keep in mind that MPD is requesting a Web-based application, so members will be able to access these applications from their MDTs. Yes, we do currently have seven police districts. The arrest and booking module will be used primarily by patrol officers and civilian booking clerks, whereas the case management module will be used by detectives and investigators.

5. The reference to web based, does this include both Intranet and Internet?

Answer Q5: MPD has both an Intranet and Internet site, but these applications will only be hosted on the Intranet.

6. What is the hardware / operating system standard?

Answer Q6: The specs for our workstations were attached to version 1 of these questions and answers as Appendix 4. The minimum specs for the servers will be Dual Xeon 2.0 Processor, 4 GB Memory, Windows 2003 Server, Minimum Raid 5 (see Amendment A003 dated August 25, 2008).

DRAFT

7. How many terminals, users will have access to the A&B system? What types of computers are being used? Are they relatively new? Does MDP use Windows XP/Vista, Office 07/03?

Answer Q7: See answer to Q4 above. Yes, the PCs at MPD are relatively new, and members use Office 2003.

8. Are there any software vendors that aren't acceptable?

Answer Q8: MPD will evaluate each proposal given to us by the Office of Contracting and Procurement equally.

9. Is there any software currently in place to replace legacy system? i.e.
- a. Incident Management System (IMS);
 - b. Property and Evidence;
 - c. Automated Field Reporting.

Answer Q9: MPD does not have an RMS vendor. However, in 2007, the Department built an in-house Incident Management System (IMS) that will form the foundation of the future RMS. In addition, in 2008, the Department began building an interim property and evidence system and automated field reporting system, neither of which is yet in use. Please note that none of these three systems are the subject of this particular requisition.

10. Will the MPD retain any existing modules or parts of legacy system? Will there be data conversion? If yes will the conversion be handled through this project?

Answer Q10: That depends on the legacy system. At a minimum, we will be retaining the architecture and fields of many of our legacy systems. There may be some data conversion, although most of this work will be done by the Data Warehouse vendor.

11. Is this a court ordered change?
- a. If yes, what is the time deadline for compliance?
 - b. What is the change imperative?

Answer Q11: No, MPD's decision to replace many of its legacy systems and build an RMS is not a court-ordered change.

12. Is the automated finger print system already in place? And if so on what platform is used?

Answer Q12: Yes. MPD's AFIS system is a Motorola application.

13. Has a critical path for all modules been established?

Answer Q13: Yes, and the priority of each system will be determined at time of contract award.

DRAFT

DRAFT

14. Can you identify the outside agencies to share data and what are the agency rules embedded with the RMS?

Answer Q14: A diagram that shows MPD's current interfaces to its partner agencies was attached as Appendix 2 to version 1 of these questions and answers (see Amendment A003 dated August 25, 2008). The rules depend on the legacy system, since each one has a different security model. This information will be shared with the vendor upon contractor award.

15. Will this be a wide area local area network with wireless access provided to mobile units?

Answer Q15: Yes.

16. Are there any dependent variables within the A&B software requirements such as NIVRS or JUSTIS?

Answer Q16: See answer to Q14. While there is a link between the between the arrest & booking data and JUSTIS, there is no such interface to NIBRS.

17. What is the lowest common standard of MPD mobile platforms (i.e RAM, Hard-disk size, screen resolution?)

Answer Q17: The following are the specs for the MDTs:

*Intel® Core Duo Processor L2400, 2MB L2 cache
Processor speed 1.66GHz, 667MHz FSB
80GB hard drive
1024MB SDRAM (DDR2) (Panasonic memory)
13.3" 1024 x 768 (XGA) transmissive, daylight-readable TFT active matrix color LCD with touchscreen
Backlit keyboard, plastic emissive
External video support up to 1280 x 1024 at 16 million colors (24-bit color depth),
Intel® Mobile 945GM graphic controller DVMT 128MB
1000 nit (touchscreen models)
Sigmatel™ STAC9751T AC-97 v.2.1 Compliant Audio Codec
Integrated front-facing speaker
PC card type II x 1
Secure Digital (SD) card
Express card/54 x 1
Bluetooth® v.2.0 + EDR
Integrated passive GPS
Intel® PRO/Wireless 3945ABG LAN connection 802.11a/b/g
Integrated Verizon cellular modem (CDMA 2000 1X EV-DO) Sierra Wireless 595
Integrated GPS
Computrace® theft protection agent in BIOS*

DRAFT

18. Can the above RFP for Arrest Booking and Case Mgt can be proposed with Commercial Off The Shelf (COTS) software in lieu of bidding to develop it?

Answer Q18: Yes.

19. Is this opportunity (Solicitation DCTO-2009-R-0003) seeking a consultant or a vendor to supply the software? Please clarify.

Answer Q19: The Contractor will need to provide necessary services for the development of two modules (arrest & booking and case management) and other deliverables as specified in the RFQ.

20. After reviewing the RFP and attachments, we are unable to locate the total number of users for these modules. In order to provide appropriate licensing cost in our response, the total number of users is necessary.

Answer Q20: See answer to Q4 above.

21. A Disaster Recovery Plan should be developed with the A&B system – will this form part of the project?

Answer Q21: Yes. The selected vendor will work with the Data Warehouse team on this.

22. Data Fields – Some other fields that should be considered: gang affiliation, medication, meals, alternative meal consideration (e.g. diabetic), suicidal, others?

Answer Q22: MPD will consider other fields that have been found helpful by other agencies in similar modules.

23. This RFP requests "Support Services" for these applications and provides an 8-month implementation timeline. Does this mean the MPD already has a project plan and project schedule in place?

Answer Q23: MPD estimated a timeline for the project for planning purposes only. We will work with the selected vendor to finalize a project plan and detailed project schedule that works for both parties.

24. Does MPD have a Level-Of-Effort (LOE) estimate for this work, based on the 8-month implementation timeline?

Answer Q24: See answer to Q23.

25. The contract calls out "License Agreement for Arrest & Booking Module" and "License Agreement for Case Management Modules" -- it also states that the MPD owns the source code. Is this referring to licenses for 3rd party software and operating systems?

Answer Q25: MPD will own the software developed under the contract. MPD and its partner law enforcement agencies (upon entering into a Memorandum of Agreement with MPD) will have rights to use the software under the contract. MPD expects to own the source code of any customized development built under the contract.

26. Mobile Capabilities are mentioned but the requirements are not specific? Can MPD provide the detailed mobile requirements that these 2 modules need to support?

Answer Q26: See answer to Q17.

27. C.1.1 – Does MPD have an overall implementation roadmap / IT strategic plan for the RMS?

Answer Q27: The goal of the Data Warehouse and RMS projects is to improve the efficiency of the agency through the infusion of technology into the applications. The Data Warehouse architecture will support the RMS architecture since the RMS data will be feeding the Data Warehouse. The overall strategy for the RMS involves choosing the best modular applications to support MPD's varying business processes, including but not limited to: incident management thru automated field reporting, arrest and booking, warrants and investigative services, and case management. More details will be provided upon contract award.

28. C.2.1.E – Integration requirement with overall RMS – What constitutes the current RMS? Are these the legacy systems or new systems being build? If these are new systems – what is the timeframe for their implementation?

Answer Q28: MPD does not have an RMS technology yet. The Department has built a handful of interim applications to take the place of failing systems as we prepare for an RMS, including the Incident Management System (IMS (which will form the foundation of the RMS), a traffic crash application and a property system. These interim solutions are likely to be replaced/incorporated into the new RMS as it is built. Many of the legacy systems are being migrated into the Data Warehouse. The timeframe for implementation of the arrest and booking and case management modules was included in the RFQ.

29. In the summary of deliverables, there are 110 days between deliverable C and deliverable D. Can we propose incremental 30 day deliverables, so that there can progress billings and payments?

Answer Q29: See answer to Q23. We are open to a more incremental schedule.

30. C.3.8 – What is the MPD Acceptance process and timeline? For purposes of scheduling and estimation, can we request a 10 day turnaround for comments and approval for each deliverable? Can we also assume that upon receiving comments and satisfactory resolution of these comments, these Deliverables be submitted as FINAL?

Answer Q30: See answer to Q23. We are anticipating negotiating an appropriate turnaround timeline. And yes, in general, upon receiving comments and having those resolved satisfactorily, deliverables may be submitted as final for payment.

31. Is the MPD looking for a COTS solution or a custom developed software solution?

Answer Q31: MPD does not have any preconceived notions about whether the RMS we will get in the end will be a commercial off-the-shelf application (COTS), a customized COTS or an application built just for MPD. It may be a combination of all three or just one. MPD's goal is to ensure all the user and business requirements are met in a comprehensive system.

32. What is the implementation hardware and software platform for this project?

Answer Q32: See answer to Q6 above. No software has been purchased for the RMS, although the Department has built the foundation for the RMS in SQL.

33. The use of the term MODULE suggests these components must fit into an existing software architecture? Can MPD provide documentation for this architecture?

Answer Q33: See answer to Q27 and Q28.

34. Are there any interface control documents, documented API's, or Web Service Descriptors for the existing modules or requested modules that this project must interface with?

Answer Q34: MPD will provide documentation of relevant applications upon contract award.

35. Are there GIS integration requirements?

Answer Q35: MPD uses ArcGIS software for all its GIS. All location data in the RMS will either be geocoded on the front end (during data entry) or the back end.

36. Is the vendor expected to provide the hardware and software required to host these modules?

Answer Q36: If the proposed application is custom built, then it is expected that the vendor would be supplying the software to support it.

37. What level(s) of security will these modules need to implement? How do these modules interact with the security functions of the RMS?

Answer Q37: MPD will have in-depth discussions on the security model for each RMS module upon contract award. Different modules may have different layers of security based on current business practices.

38. The RFP states "Several agencies may be using the arrest system that MPD creates". Who are these agencies? What level of support for these agencies should we plan for? How does the MPD wish to handle the security for these entities?

Answer Q38: There are several agencies that use MPD's current arrest and booking system, the Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS), including the U.S. Park Police, U.S. Capitol Police, Uniformed Secret Service Police, Metro Transit Police, etc. A comprehensive list will be provided upon project award. Also, see answer to Q37.

39. The RFP specifies a web based application – Will the system be running on a secured intranet? Is there any possibility that this system will be accessed from the outside over the internet or through a Mobile Device?

Answer Q39: Yes, it will be running on a secured Intranet. And yes, it will need to be accessible by the MDTs as well.

40. Does the system require any authorization other than login/password? Does the system need to run over SSL?

Answer Q40: Details will be provided upon contract award.

41. The RFP states "The CMS should also provide the capability to electronically forward data to external data sources either automatically or upon request of the user based upon agency rules embedded within the RMS." Does the RMS provide the forwarding functionality and rules, or must the modules accomplish this on their own?

Answer Q41: Details will be negotiated upon award of all the RMS contracts.

42. Does MPD have a preference to the development methodology used? i.e. Waterfall / Iterative(Agile)?

Answer Q42: MPD is open to various development methodologies.

43. Are there specific software development standards and architecture guidelines which the vendor must adhere too?

Answer Q43: As part of the Data Warehouse project, MPD is compiling a list of standards and guidelines that will help marry the two projects. These include security guidelines. Details will be provided upon contract award.

44. Are there any OCTO specified requirements for new IT systems which must be adhered too?

Answer Q44: OCTO has been unable to provide specific architecture requirements to date when we requested them for the Data Warehouse project; however, we understand they are updating them.

45. Is the Solicitation number DCTO-2009-R-0003 or OCTO-2009-R-0003? Both are used in the Solicitation.

Answer Q45: The Solicitation No. is DCTO-2009-R-0003 not OCTO-2009-R-0003.

46. The RFP states a Firm Fixed Price (FFP) contract, but has elements of a time and materials (T&M) contract with a contract limit (i.e. maximum billable 40 hour work-week, providing weekly time-sheets etc.) Please clarify?

Answer Q46: The deliverables listed in the Work Statement under paragraph C.2.1 are time and material, the maintenance support services and the license agreements will be firm fixed price items. Paragraphs C.6.3 and C.8.6 are being deleted from the Work Statement. The attached revised Section B applies.

47. Will the vendor be paid monthly on hours expended under the contract ceiling like a T&M, or upon acceptance of the deliverable like a FFP?

Answer Q47: The District will make payments to the Contractor upon the submission of proper invoices at the prices stipulated in the resultant contract for the deliverables completed and accepted, less any discounts, allowances or adjustments provided for the contract.

48. Please confirm that the only deliverables required under this contract are specified in section C.2, and that is all we need to price in our Price Proposal.

Answer Q48: Yes, you are correct. The deliverable items are listed in paragraph C.2.1.

49. C.3.6 – Is this referencing CLIN 1001 and CLIN 1002 or is this 12 months expected to be included in the base contract amount?

Answer Q49: The 12 month maintenance support services and the license agreements are being referenced in CLIN 1001, 1002 and 1003. They are applicable for paragraph C.3.6 of the work statement.

50. C.3.5 – is the warranty included in the Maintenance Support (CLIN 1001 & 1002), or are these to be priced in the base contract?

Answer Q50: The warranty should be included in the maintenance support for CLIN 1001. They are to be provided during the option period 1.

51. C.6.3 – On a FFP contract, how would MPD manage the changes in the contractual hours? Is there a T&M component to this contract, based in established rates for different labor categories?

Answer Q51: See answer to questions 46 and 47.

52. What is the Contractual Process to manage changes to the Project Scope on a FFP contract?

Answer Q52: Under the changes clause, a change request is submitted to the contracting office with pricing for the scope. Upon acceptance of the change by both parties, a modification is generated to the contract.

53. C.3.7 – Does DC MPD have a SDLC with defined deliverables for the project phases?

Answer Q53: **MPD will work with the vendor upon contract award to expand the timeline and list of expected milestones already included in the RFQ.**

54. C.11. Ongoing System Maintenance – Does the MPD differentiate O&M and warranty against defect? O&M are separate cost during the maintenance phase, while Warranty against defect should be free for the 1st year. Please clarify the MPD's interpretation and the relationships of O&M and Warranty to the CLIN's.

Answer Q54: **Warranty should cover all aspects of issues or defects with problems for the first year, free of charge. However, maintenance is a fixed cost to support products.**

55. L.2.3. Price/Cost – For a FFP Contract, should we just provide the cost to complete the Deliverables as specified in Section C.2.1? Please clarify that we need to provide the Cost/Price Data Package for a FFP contract?

Answer Q55: The Deliverable listed in the Work Statement under paragraph C.2.1 are being changed to time and material. You are not required to provide cost/price data package for this contract.

56. What are the existing systems to be interfaced with the Arrests, Booking and Case Management systems? Please clarify the interface requirements for each of these systems including the District of Columbia Justice Council CJCC projects, the RMS technology systems, JUSTIS, etc., by providing:

- a) Identify the type of interface required. Will this be a one-way interface or a two-way interface between the applications?
- b) What data will need to be passed in these interfaces?
- c) Please provide a data flow diagram between these applications.
- d) Please provide contact information for each required interface. This information should include a name, email address and phone number.

Answer Q56a: Two-way interface.

Answer 56b: Arrest and booking data as well as mugshot data.

Answer 56c: Attached is a diagram depicting the high-level architecture of the future RMS, including details on all its separate components (Appendix 1). It includes information on how the AFRS will feed the RMS and how the RMS will populate the Data Warehouse. Also attached is a diagram that shows MPD's current interfaces to its partner agencies (Appendix 2).

Answer Q56d: Contact information will be provided after award.

57. It is assumed, the Arrest/Booking and Case Management systems will interface with the Data Warehouse. Can MPD provide the Data Warehouse specifications for review?

Answer Q57: See answer to Q1 above.

58 Section 4.3 states the Arrest & Booking software "Must support MPD's standard business operations forms." Please provide a copy of MPD's standard business operations forms.

Answer Q58: MPD has identified most, but not all, of the forms that will be supported by these applications. A sample is attached in Appendix 3.

59 Section 4.6 states "Must support relational databases and adhere to the MPD RMS schema. Please provide the MPD RMS schema documentation and requirements.

Answer Q59: See answer to Q1 above.

60 Section 5.3 states the Case Management system "Must support MPD's standard business operations forms." Please provide a copy of MPD's standard business operations forms.

Answer Q60: See answer to Q3 above.

DRAFT

- 61 an MPD identify existing server hardware and client/work station hardware that is currently being utilized?

Answer Q61: The specs for our workstations are attached as Appendix 4. The minimum specs for the servers will be Dual Xeon 2.0 Processor, 4 GB Memory, Windows 2003 Server, Minimum Raid 5

- 62 should the vendor quote hardware for the servers and the client workstations?

Answer Q62: No.

- 63 Should vendors propose a fault-tolerant or disaster recovery solution for the solicitation?

Answer Q63: No.

- 64 Can MPD provide workstation network connectivity specifications for the solicitation?

Answer Q64: Minimum 100bt to workstations w/Gig backbone.

- 65 Will Data Conversion be required for this project? If yes, can MPD identify and provide a sample of data that will be converted from legacy systems?

Answer Q65: Perhaps, although most of the data conversion will be done by the Data Warehouse vendor.

- 66 MPD indicated at the bidder's conference that a Traffic Crash System is currently under development in-house and will require integration into the proposed systems. Can MPD provide technical and interface specifications for this system? Additionally, can MPD provide a data flow diagram and indicate what data will be passed between these systems?

Answer Q 66: The Traffic Crash System is not part of the arrest & booking or case management modules. This question was answered under the AFRS/RMS solicitation, however.


67. Will MPD provide exact number of client workstations where the software will be installed?
- Number of Concurrent Users
 - Number of workstations for the Arrests/Booking
 - Number of Automated Field Reporting System Clients for Case Management

Answer Q67: MPD has 4,200 sworn members, 2,500 workstations and 800 total laptops, including Mobile Data Terminals (MDTs). Please keep in mind that MPD is requesting a Web-based application.


Tuesday, August 19, 2008

METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

HIGH-LEVEL VIEW OF OVERALL ARCHITECTURE



MPD & CJCC WARRANTS Interoperability Logical Flow



MPD WARRANTS Interoperability Logical Flow	
DESCRIPTION - This diagram should depict the components and flow of the Project. It should reflect the Network, Information and Operational function	
PROJECT EXECUTIVE - Travis Hudnall	
ARCHITECT & TECHNICAL DIRECTOR - Travis Hudnall	
DATE - July 2008	SCALE - Not to Scale

Appendix 1: RQ404382
Appendix 3: RQ404386

WITNESSES	WITNESSES PRESENT DURING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE?	YES	NO	
	CHILDREN PRESENT DURING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE?	YES	NO	
	Names, Ages and DOB of ALL Children Present: _____			

	STATEMENT(S) TAKEN?	YES	NO	(SEE NARRATIVE)
	WITNESS INFO LISTED?	YES	NO	

PROTECTION (RESTRAINING) ORDERS? TYPE: _____ ISSUING COURT: _____ ORDER OR DOCKET NUMBER: _____	YES NO CURRENT EXPIRED TEMPORARY PERMANENT	VICTIM GIVEN: <input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC VIOLENCE BROCHURE <input type="checkbox"/> MPD CCN# <input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM'S RIGHTS CARD
---	---	---

WITNESSES (Other than victim/suspect) WITNESS _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Crying <input type="checkbox"/> Irrational <input type="checkbox"/> Angry <input type="checkbox"/> Nervous <input type="checkbox"/> Apologetic <input type="checkbox"/> Threatening <input type="checkbox"/> Calm <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Explain <input type="checkbox"/> Afraid <input type="checkbox"/> Fearful <input type="checkbox"/> Hysterical	<input type="checkbox"/> Victim <input type="checkbox"/> Suspect 	HT. _____ WT. _____
WITNESS _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Crying <input type="checkbox"/> Irrational <input type="checkbox"/> Angry <input type="checkbox"/> Nervous <input type="checkbox"/> Apologetic <input type="checkbox"/> Threatening <input type="checkbox"/> Calm <input type="checkbox"/> Other: Explain <input type="checkbox"/> Afraid <input type="checkbox"/> Fearful <input type="checkbox"/> Hysterical	<input type="checkbox"/> Victim <input type="checkbox"/> Suspect 	HT. _____ WT. _____

STATUS <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN <input type="checkbox"/> PRIOR CLOSED <input type="checkbox"/> CLOSED <input type="checkbox"/> UNFOUNDED (Explain in narrative) <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPENDED (Explain in narrative)	TELETYPE NO.	SOLVABILITY RATING	SOLVABILITY CLASSIFICATION
INVESTIGATIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPEND <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTIGATE FURTHER	SUPERVISOR'S RECOMMENDATION <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPEND <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTIGATE FURTHER		
REPORTING MEMBER'S SIGNATURE BADGE/ELEM.	INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE BADGE/ELEM.	SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE BADGE/ELEM.	
INVESTIGATIVE REVIEW OFFICER	SUPERVISOR	BADGE/ELEM.	REVIEWER
DISTRIBUTION			

METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT
Washington, D. C.

ARREST/PROSECUTION REPORT

P.D. 163 Rev. 1/2002

G.O. 401.5

1. PERSON NOTIFIED OF NAME CHANGE - UNIT - DATE/TIME - NCIC NO. (ID ONLY)										2. ID NUMBER (ID ONLY)								
3. DEFENDANT'S TRUE NAME - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE (ID ONLY)										4. CID NUMBER								
5. UNIT-ARREST NO.										6. DEFENDANT'S NAME - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE (At time of arrest)		7. DEA LAB NUMBER						
8. Arresting Officer's Name				9. TYPE OF RELEASE <input type="checkbox"/> CITATION <input type="checkbox"/> BOND <input type="checkbox"/> COLLATERAL				10. NICKNAME / ALIAS				11. PHONE NUMBER						
Rank		Badge #		Agency		12. COURT DATE				13. ADDRESS (include Room / Apt. No. City & State if Outside D.C.)				14. TIME IN D.C.				
15. <input type="checkbox"/> CHILD ABUSE		<input type="checkbox"/> GANG		<input type="checkbox"/> HATE SPECIAL INTELLIGENCE		<input type="checkbox"/> SENIOR CITIZEN		<input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC VIOLENCE		16. SEX		17. RACE		18. BIRTHDATE		19. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER		
20. NEED INTERPRETER <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO			21. HEIGHT		22. WEIGHT		23. HAIR		24. EYES		25. COMPLEX		26. PERMIT NO/ST			27. BIRTHPLACE (City & State)		
28. CO-DEFENDANTS: Number ____ (if more than 3, list on back) NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE AND PHONE NUMBER										29. IMPERSONATOR? <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F <input type="checkbox"/> NO		30. ETHNICITY		31. CAUTION				
1. _____										33. HAT		34. JACKET		35. PANTS				
2. _____										36. COAT		37. SHIRT		38. SKIRT/DRESS				
3. _____																		
39. WALES/NCIC CHECK																		
CHECK MADE BY (Name)						NCIC NUMBER				WARRANT ON FILE (If Yes, enter Warrant Numbers) Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>								
40. LOCATION OF OFFENSE (Exact Address, include Room / Apt No.)										DATE OF OFFENSE		TIME OF OFFENSE						
40. LOCATION OF ARREST (Exact Address, include Room / Apt No.)										DATE OF ARREST		TIME OF ARREST						
42. ASSISTING OFFICER'S NAME, RANK, BADGE NO. & UNIT OR AGENCY						ASSISTING OFFICER'S NAME, RANK, BADGE NO. & UNIT OR AGENCY												
43. DEFENDANT ADVISED OF RIGHTS																		
DATE		TIME		LOCATION				OFFICER'S NAME - ADVISING / COMPLETING PD FORM 47/47A				BADGE NO.		UNIT				
44. COMPLAINANTS / WITNESSES (If sworn member - Name, Rank, Badge No. and Unit) MORE <input type="checkbox"/> See Back																		
NAME - LAST, FIRST, M.I.				ADDRESS - STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE				BIRTHDATE		HOME PHONE NO.			WORK PHONE NO.					
W-1																		
W-2																		
45. SPEC. OPS None				46. TACTICS				47. PREMISES				48. SCHOOL ZONE PUBLIC HOUSING <input type="checkbox"/>						
ENTER THE LEAD CHARGE FIRST	CHARGES						NOI OR WARRANT NUMBER				CCN		MPD DISPOS.		COLLA./BOND RECEIPT NO			
	1.																	
	2.																	
	3.																	
	4.																	
	5.																	
50. PROPERTY RECOVERY / ITEMS OF EVIDENCE						51. INITIALS - DATE - UNIT OF PERSON TAKING PRINT						53. RIGHT THUMB PRINT						
PROPERTY BOOK/ PAGE NO			CSSES NO.			52. M. O. WEAPONS, HANGOUTS, HABITS, INSTRUMENTS												
54. CCB USE ONLY		HEIGHT		WEIGHT		HAIR		EYES		COMPLEX		SCARS/MARKS/TATTOOS						

DISTRIBUTION: Page 1 to ID & R., Page 2 & 3 to Prosecutor, Page 4, Unit Copy, Page 5 Officer's Copy

COMPLETE ALL REQUIRED FIELDS AND MAKE FIVE COPIES FRONT TO BACK

55 EMPLOYMENT HISTORY (List present employment if any, on Line 1)

FROM - DATE - TO	EMPLOYER	ADDRESS	BUS. PHONE	OCCUPATION
1 Present				
2				

56 NAMES OF LIVING FAMILY, RELATIVES, FRIENDS AND ASSOCIATES (Begin with immediate family)

RELATIONSHIP	DOB AGE	NAME - LAST, FIRST, MI.	ADDRESS - STREET, CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	PHONE NUMBER

57 MILITARY SERVICE BRANCH/DATE FROM - TO

58 TELEPHONE CALL MADE
 YES NO REFUSED

59 PHONE NUMBER

60 STATEMENT OF FACTS: (Give a brief statement in your own words, of the facts surrounding the offense and the arrest. (Use Continuation Form PD 202A for additional space. Note present condition of any injured person(s). Do not give Witnesses' Names or Addresses. Refer to them as W1 or W2, etc as indicated in Item 31.)

The event occurred on at approximately at in Washington DC.

61 DEFENDANT'S VERSION / REMARKS [What did defendant say about the offense or his/her whereabouts at the time of offense?
 (Use PD 118 for defendant's written statement.)]

62 RECORD CLERK'S NAME	3	5	64 PROPERTY BOOK/PAGE NO. PRISONER'S PROPERTY ONLY
ARREST RECORD SUMMARY	4	6	
1	2		

65 BAIL REFORM ACT CASES: Was a statement made by defendant in reference to his/her failure to appear? Yes no
 (if yes, include in Defendant's Version/Remarks Section above.)

66 PRINTED NAME - OFFICER MAKING STATEMENT	BADGE NUMBER	RANK	68 SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL	
67 SIGNATURE OF OFFICER MAKING STATEMENT	UNIT	DATE 5/23 2008	UNIT	DATE

Metropolitan Police Department
Operations Command
Motor Carrier Driver/Vehicle Inspection Report

10/01

LOCATION OF INSPECTION		DATE	TIME	DISTRICT	PSA
NAME OF MOTOR CARRIER		ADDRESS (CITY)	(STATE)	(ZIP)	(TELEPHONE)
NAME OF SHIPPER		SHIPPING PAPER NO.	HAZMAT PERMIT <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		
SHIPPER ADDRESS (CITY)		(STATE)	(ZIP)	(TELEPHONE)	
COMMODITY TRANSPORTED		ORIGIN (CITY/STATE)	DESTINATION		
DRIVER IDENTIFICATION (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE NAME)		DRIVER'S LICENSE NO. / STATE	BIRTH DATE (MM/DD/YY)		
VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION (UNIT TYPE, MAKE, MODEL, YEAR, CO-NUMBER, LICENSE NUMBER, STATE)					
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRANSPORTED					
EXPLOSIVES (TYPE)	FLAMMABLE LIQUID (TYPE)	FLAMMABLE GAS (TYPE)	NON-FLAMMABLE GAS (TYPE)		
POISON GAS (TYPE)	FLAMMABLE SOLID (TYPE)	SPONTANEOUSLY COMBUSTIBLE (TYPE)			
RADIOACTIVE (TYPE)	CORROSIVE (TYPE)	OTHER			
TR= STRAIGHT TRUCK, TT=TRACTOR TRAILER, ST=SEMI TRAILER, PT=POLE TRAILER, FT=FULL TRAILER, DC= DOLLY CONVERTER BU=BUS OT=OTHER					
NOTICE OF INFRACTION(S) GIVEN (NOI NUMBER, VIOLATION CODE, VIOLATION, FINE, COURT DATE)					
REMARKS					
			TOWED FROM SCENE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		
TOWED TO:			TOWED BY:		
REPORT PREPARED BY:		BADGE	UNIT	TIME COMPLETED:	

1. DEFENDANT'S NAME - LAST - FIRST - MIDDLE (At Time of Arrest)								
2. PHONE NO.			3. VENDOR NO.					
4. ADDRESS								
5. SEX	6. RACE	7. BIRTH DATE	8. SOCIAL SECURITY NO.			9. BIRTHPLACE (CITY & STATE)		
10. HEIGHT	11. WEIGHT	12. HAIR	13. EYES	14. COMPLEXION	15. PERMIT NO/ST			
16. LOCATION OF OFFENSE			17. DISTRICT WHERE OFFENSE OCCURRED		18. DATE OF OFFENSE		19. TIME OF OFFENSE	
20. CHARGES								
<input type="checkbox"/> FISHING VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> VENDING VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> UNTAXED CIGARETTES <input type="checkbox"/> SELLING CIGARETTES WITHOUT LICENSE <input type="checkbox"/> HEALTH CERTIFICATE (NONE/EXPIRED) <input type="checkbox"/> IMPROPER REFRIGERATION OF FOOD			<input type="checkbox"/> SALE OF UNPREPACKAGED FOOD <input type="checkbox"/> PLACARDS/SIGNS/POSTERS <input type="checkbox"/> UNLEASHED DOG <input type="checkbox"/> NOISE ACT VIOLATION <input type="checkbox"/> RADAR DETECTOR <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____					
FINE \$ _____								
21. CCN		22. MPD DISPOSITION			23. COLLATERAL/BOND RECEIPT NO.			

You are hereby directed to report, within 15 days, to the district in which the citation was issued to post the required amount of collateral for the above-listed violation. Bring this citation with you.

Failure to post collateral within 15 days from the date you receive this citation will result in the case being presented to the D.C. Superior Court for disposition.

If you wish to request a TRIAL you MUST appear in person within 15 days at the district in which the citation was issued and an arraignment date will be scheduled for you. You do not need to post collateral in order to receive a TRIAL. Bring this citation with you.

- 1st District 415 4th Street, S.W.
- 2nd District 3320 Idaho Avenue, N.W.
- 3rd District 1620 V Street, N.W.
- 4th District 6001 Georgia Avenue, N.W.
- 5th District 1805 Bladensburg Road, N.E.
- 6th District 100 42nd Street, N.E.
- 7th District 2455 Alabama Avenue, S.E.

Ticket Number

0153303

119806



VEHICLE <input type="checkbox"/> SPOT CHECK <input type="checkbox"/> STOP		PEDESTRIAN <input type="checkbox"/> STOP		BICYCLE <input type="checkbox"/> STOP		CONTACT <input type="checkbox"/> STOP	
LOCATION				DIST	RA	TIME	DATE
NAME - LAST, FIRST MIDDLE							
ADDRESS							
HT	WT	EYES	HAIR	COMP	FACIAL HAIR, SCARS, TATTOOS, CLOTHING, ETC.	RACE	SEX
COLOR-TOP/BOTT		YR	MAKE, BODY, SIZE BIKE		TAG NO./BIKE REG. NO.	STATE	
DENTS/OTHER IDENTIFIERS				OWNER'S NAME			
OPERATOR'S PERMIT NO. AND STATE				OWNER'S ADDRESS			

METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT STOP OR CONTACT REPORT PD-76 REV. 5/03

JUSTIFICATION FOR STOP OR CONTACT

REMARKS

BICYCLES: Legal Possession Established

Legal Possession NOT Established


REPORTING OFFICER

UNIT

SECTION

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Office of Contracting and Procurement

DATE: October 29, 2008



PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE
For the Development of the Arrest/Booking and Case
Management Modules
Sign-in Sheet

NAME	NAME OF BUSINESS	EMAIL	NUMBER
KEN MORROW	OCB	Kenneth.morrow@dc.gov	202-724-3555
AGNE SIBAUT	MPD	agne.sibaut@dc.gov	703-4405
Jane Blum	OCB	Jane Blum	727-6793
Jaye Helferd	Inter-Image	jhelferd@image.com	703-522-7400
Mike Kahlm	MPD	MKahlm@dc.gov	301 464 4335
Thelma James	MPD	Thelma.james@dc.gov	800-787-9415
Sheila Kates	Digital Gap Solutions Inc.	skates@digigaps.com	(103) 862-2093
Tack Marks	Data Vision Group LLC	jack@datavisiongroup.com	908-452-3797
SRAE MEDHANE	Data Vision Group, LLC/TRINE	srakm@trinegroup.com	713-625-5031
William Osen	Paradyne Management	wos@paradyne-management.com	609 724 6496
Peter Nakashian	Continuous Cash Recovery	petrnak@yahoo.com	215 208 3268 (cell)
FRANK LOMBARDO	ditto	ditto	ditto
DON SCHROEDER	eer	SAME	SAME
B:ll Shannon	Diverse Computing Inc.	bshannon@diversecomputing.com	703.216.8300