

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. Contract Number DCTO-2007-T-0034	Page of Pages 1 1	
2. Amendment/Modification Number A002		3. Effective Date SEE BLOCK 16C	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No. RQ315221	5. Solicitation Caption ACEDS Planning Implementation	
6. Issued By: OFFICE OF CONTRACTING AND PROCUREMENT ONE JUDICIARY SQUARE 441 4TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 SOUTH WASHINGTON, DC 20001			7. Administered By (If other than line 6) OFFICE OF CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER ONE JUDICIARY SQUARE 441 4TH STREET, NW, SUITE 700 SOUTH WASHINGTON, DC 20001		
8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. Street, city, country, state and ZIP Code)			(X)	9A. Amendment of Solicitation No. DCTO-2007-T-0034	
			X	9B. Dated (See Item 11) 6-Mar-07	
				10A. Modification of Contract/Order No.	
				10B. Dated (See Item 13)	
Code	Facility				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended. <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14					
(X)	A. This change order is issued pursuant to: (Specify Authority) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.				
	B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2.				
	C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of:				
	D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.					
14. Description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) THE PURPOSE OF THIS MODIFICATION IS TO EXTEND THE DUE DATE OF THE RFP:					
CHANGE FROM: April 11, 2007					
TO: April 18, 2007					
ATTACHED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS RECEIVED FROM CONTRACTORS.					
A COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH THE PROPOSAL PACKAGE.					
ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT REMAINS UNCHANGED.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item (9A or 10A) remain unchanged and in full force and effect					
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)			16A. Name of Contracting Officer WILLIAM E. SHARP		
15B. Name of Contractor		15C. Date Signed	16B. District of Columbia /s/		16C. Date Signed 10-Apr-07
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			(Signature of Contracting Officer)		

RQ315221: ACEDS Replacement/Upgrade

Question ID	Question	RFQ Section	Response
1	Will the District allow for cost to be shown as Quantity (number of hours) X Per Task Cost (hourly rate) = Fixed Price. The current configuration of Quantity and then Per Task does not seem to leave room for Quantity (#) x Per Task Cost (hourly rate) to arrive at a fixed price	Section B.3 Pricing	OCP to provide clarification
2	Can the District share data related to current error rates in the Food Stamps program?	C.4.1	For FY06, the Food Stamp Active error rate was 8.88% and the Food Stamp Negative error rate was 11.5%.
3	Can the District provide a listing of systems with which ACEDS currently interfaces or receives data uploads from?		The ACEDS interfaces include, but may not be limited to, SSA (SVES, BENDEX, SDX, Forty Quarters), CMS (Buy-In), DC DOES (Base Wage, UI, Special Benefits), DC Public Schools, State Education Office, DC DMV (Operator Permit, Vehicle Registration), IRS, MMIS, Child Support, CWIS, Jury Pool, New Hires, Q5i (for TANF Data Reporting), TANF subsystem (work participation tracking). ACEDS data extracts are periodically sent to a number of agencies both within and outside the District Government.
4	Should bidders assume that the selected vendor will not be precluded from performing work on future enhancements to ACEDS or a future replacement system?		DHHS/CMS and USDA/FNS require full and open competition for the implementation of eligibility systems for their programs. Therefore, CMS and FNS will not permit any vendor who participates in any aspect of the ACEDS upgrade/replacement planning effort, either as a prime contractor or subcontractor, to be part of a potential implementation Contractor's team (i.e., the team bidding on the implementation of the recommended solution). However, Contractors that are part of the selected ACEDS planning team will be allowed to bid for potential Quality Assurance (QA) and/or Independent Verification and Validation

RQ315221: ACEDS Replacement/Upgrade

Question ID	Question	RFQ Section	Response
			(IV&V) services efforts that are associated with the follow-on ACEDS implementation effort.
5	<p>M.3.1.1 Project Approach states 30 points yet the more detailed breakdown is 20 points and 15 points; equal to a total of 35 points</p> <p>M.3.1.1 Corporate Qualifications states 40 points yet the more detailed breakdown is 15 points, 15 points and 15 points; equal to a total of 45 points</p> <p>M.3.1.1 Pricing states 30 points yet the formula shown has a multiplier of 20 which indicates total points 20.</p>	Section M.3 Evaluation Criteria	Replacement section provided below.
6	<p>Proposal labeling contradicts between Page 1 of the cover letter (file DCTO-2007-T-0034_Att.pdf) #1 and Page 32 Section L2.2.1.</p> <p>Solicitation Titles are different. Please clarify.</p>	Cover Letter	<p>Cover letter page 1 list item 1 should read: The task order proposal shall consist of two parts: Technical and Price, six (6) copies each. Each shall be submitted in a sealed envelope conspicuously marked: "Task Order Proposal in response to Solicitation No. RFTOP-2007-T-0034, "ACEDS Upgrade/Replacement Requirements Analysis and Implementation Planning Services."</p>
7	<p>The number of proposal copies contradicts between Page 1 of the cover letter (file DCTO-2007-T-0034_Att.pdf) #1 and Page 32 Section L2.2.1.</p>	Section L2.2.1	<p>Section L2.2.1 should read: The Contractor's proposal shall consist of two (2) parts, separately bound: Technical and Price, six (6) copies each. Each shall be submitted in a sealed envelope conspicuously marked: "Proposal In Response To: Solicitation No. RFTOP-2007-T-0034 entitled: "ACEDS Upgrade/Replacement Requirements Analysis and Implementation Planning Services."</p> <p>Replacement section provided below.</p>
8	<p>Page 2 of their cover letter (file DCTO-2007-T-0034_Att.pdf) states in 3 b), "Completed Price Schedule, Attachment C". Attachment C missing, please provide.</p>	Cover Letter	OCP to provide clarification

**SOLICITATION NO. DCTO-2007-T-0034
AMENDMENT A002**

RQ315221: ACEDS Replacement/Upgrade

Question ID	Question	RFQ Section	Response
9	Page 1 of the cover letter (file DCTO-2007-T-0034_Att.pdf) states "in accordance with Attachment A - Statement of Work (SOW)" vs. page 4 which lists "Attachment A - Current District-Wide Wage & Hour Determination". They sent the later but they need to clarify what they were referring to on Page 1 (is it the Solicitation document where SOW is Section C as indicated in 2 a) on Page 2)?	Cover Letter	OCP to provide clarification
10	Page 2 of their cover letter (file DCTO-2007-T-0034_Att.pdf) states in item 3 last sentence, "...return the Tax Certification Affidavit (Attachment D)". Clarify if this is typo and actually should state "Attachment B".	Cover Letter	OCP to provide clarification
11	Cover letter states "Technical and Price, six (6) copies each" no mention of original. Section A, Solicitation / Contract Form states "original and 4 copies". Need to clarify.	Cover Letter	OCP to provide clarification
12	SOW Page 14 for Task 6: There is a C.5.5.6.1.1 and a C.5.5.6.1.3. Is C.5.5.6.1.2 missing or is this misnumbering?	C.5.5.6	SOW should include: C.5.5.6.1.2 Assign costs to funding streams Replacement section provided below.
13	SOW Page 15 & 16: In section C.5.6, there are two (2) deliverables listed as Task 7 (C.5.5.6.7), should the last Task actually be Task 8 (with corresponding numbering C.5.5.6.8)?		Replacement section provided below.
14	SOW Page 17, F.2.3 and Page 36, L.7.1: Since there is no scope of work defined for the Option Years, is the hourly rates of staff the pricing that is expected to be proposed?		OCP to provide clarification

RQ315221: ACEDS Replacement/Upgrade

RFP Section Replacements

The following are revised RFP sections that replace existing sections in their entirety:

M. 3 EVALUATION CRITERIA

M.3.1 Contractor proposals will be evaluated using the criteria outlined in the following tables. The evaluation factors are listed in descending order of importance with the most important factor listed first and the least important last.

M.3.1.1 Project Approach (30 Points)

<i>Proposed Approach to Task Performance (20 points)</i>	Contractor demonstrates a clear understanding of the scope and objectives of each task. Contractor proposes a sound approach to task performance that reflects that understanding.
<i>Proposed Project Plan (15 points)</i>	Contractor provides a preliminary project plan that demonstrates an understanding of the effort required to perform each task as well as the relationships between required tasks.

M.3.1.2 Corporate Qualifications (40 Points)

<i>Proposed Staff Experience (15 points)</i>	Contractor proposes team members with demonstrated experience in business process analysis, requirements analysis, feasibility analysis, cost benefit analysis, and implementation planning. Team members with experience in preparing federal advance planning documents are a plus.
<i>Project Management Experience (15 points)</i>	Contractor proposes a project manager with demonstrated experience in managing the planning and/or development of automated eligibility determination systems in accordance with federal advance planning document guidelines. The project manager must also demonstrate experience in business process analysis, requirements analysis, feasibility analysis, cost benefit analysis, and implementation planning.
<i>Company Experience (15 points)</i>	Contractor provides the required number of client references who can verify the Contractor's successful performance of tasks similar to those specified in the Statement of Work. All offered references rate the Contractor's performance as satisfactory or better.

RQ315221: ACEDS Replacement/Upgrade

M.3.1.3 Pricing (30 Points)

<i>Pricing</i>	The price evaluation shall be objective. The Contractor with the lowest price will receive the maximum price points. All other proposals shall receive a proportionately lower total score. The following formula shall be used to determine each Contractor's evaluated price score: <i>Fixed price proposed by the lowest priced Contractor / Fixed price proposed by the Contractor being evaluated X 20 = Evaluated Price Score</i>
----------------	--

L.2.2.1 The Contractor's proposal shall consist of two (2) parts, separately bound: **Technical and Price, six (6) copies each**. Each shall be submitted in a sealed envelope conspicuously marked: "Proposal In Response To: **Solicitation No. RFTOP-2007-T-0034** entitled: **"ACEDS Upgrade/Replacement Requirements Analysis and Implementation Planning Services."**

C.5.5.6 Task 6: Complete Cost Allocation Plan

C.5.5.6.1 This phase will involved development of a prospective cost allocation plan for the project budget identified in the prior phase including the procedures to identify, record, allocate and report direct and indirect costs, partially and fully attributable to the implementation project.

C.5.5.6.1.1 Define cost allocation methodology

C.5.5.6.1.2 Assign costs to funding streams

C.5.5.6.1.3 Finalize Cost Allocation Plan

C.5.5.6.8 Task 8: Complete Implementation Request for Proposal (RFP) Document

C.5.5.6.8.1 RFP (draft)

C.5.5.6.8.2 RFP (final)