

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. Contract Number	Page of Pages 1 4	
2. Amendment/Modification Number DCKG-2011-R-0121-001		3. Effective Date 24-Feb-11	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption See Below	
6. Issued By: Department of Real Estate Services Contracting and Procurement Division 2000 14th Street N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20009			Code	03B	
			7. Administered By (If other than line 6) Department of Real Estate Services Contracting and Procurement Division 2000 14th Street N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20009		
8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. Street, city, country, state and ZIP Code)			(X)	9A. Amendment of Solicitation No. DCKG-2011-R-0121	
				9B. Dated (See Item 11) 16-Feb-11	
				10A. Modification of Contract/Order No.	
				10B. Dated (See Item 13)	
Code			Facility		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14					
(X)	A. This change order is issued pursuant to: (Specify Authority) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.				
	B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2.				
	C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of:				
	D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)				
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return <u>1</u> copy to the issuing office.					
14. Description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
The subject solicitation is hereby amended as follows:					
1. Responses to Questions from Prospective Bidders. (Attachment A)					
The opening date for receipt of proposals, time and place remains the same.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item (9A or 10A) remain unchanged and in full force and effect					
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)			16A. Name of Contracting Officer Diane Wodoen		
15B. Name of Contractor		15C. Date Signed	16B. District of Columbia		16C. Date Signed
			<i>Diane Wodoen</i>		2/24/11
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			(Signature of Contracting Officer)		

ATTACHMENT A

Q & A
DCKG-2011-R-0121
Nash Run

1. Under Section 3.0, Technical Proposal Evaluation, b. specialized experience and technical competence, this proposal requires specialized experience in Low Impact Development, particularly strategies installed in the street-sidewalk. Is this requirement correct? It does not seem to be relevant to this particular scope of work.
 - This is an error. The specialized experience should be with stream design using either natural channel design or base flow channel design.
2. Are there any available background studies of the stream?
 - There are a few studies on Nash Run but none too comprehensive. We are not looking for a major study simply characteristics of the watershed and what that means for the water volume, velocity, and associated quality coming out of the storm sewer outfall.
3. Task 2.2.B states that the contractor must provide a summary of stream and watershed studies for the area. Is the contractor expected to conduct these studies as part of this contract, or does this information already exist?
 - See #2. The Contractor will have to do some of the work themselves but DDOE is not going to be looking for an overboard analysis of the watershed.
4. Can DDOE explain how the TSS removal goal (first bullet under project goals) was developed? Is there loading or flow information available for this watershed? does DDOE expect the contractor to collect these data?
 - The Anacostia TMDL for TSS is 15mg/L however the industry standard for most BMPs is not written in as mg/L therefore we believe that the goal of the project as listed will get water quality in Nash Run to the 15mg/L.
5. Can DDOE clarify Section 4.0.e, for subcontracting? The RFP states that 35% of any subcontracted work shall go to a certified SBE, but that if there are insufficient SBE's certified to fulfill this requirement, then the subcontracting may go to any qualified business. If a bidder was planning on subcontracting a very specialized piece of this work, and there were no SBEs qualified to do this subcontracted work, could the subcontracted work go to a non-SBE and not trigger this requirement? Would evaluating applicable NIGP codes from the OLB database be sufficient to demonstrate where or not there are firms qualified to do the work?
 - If you plan to subcontract any portion of the work, 35% will have to be subcontracted to a certified SBE. If a subcontractor cannot be found to do the work, a statement needs to be made stating this and the reason why.
6. Can DDOE clarify the page limit? Is it 7 2-sided sheets (14 pages) or 15 surfaces? These two don't seem to be the same.
 - 14 pages

7. Is there an expected format for the cost proposal? This was not provided. Is the cost proposal to be submitted separately?
 - The format of your price proposal is strictly up to your discretion. The price proposal should be submitted in a separate binder/folder.
8. Does DDOE have an expected project budget that can be disclosed?
 - We believe that the costs for the designs will be over \$100,000 which is why this is a RFQ but will not exceed \$200,000.
9. Will cost be a factor in initial rankings of bidders?
 - The evaluation panel will only have access the technical proposals and will make their recommendation based on the technical proposals only. The panel will only be privy to the price proposals after their recommendation has been made.
10. Are there any known constraints for this project (land/ownership/access)?
 - The project can only take place on DC owned land along the stream. The stream is bordered by private properties and DDOE does not have the authority to work on the adjacent properties for this project. This is a very narrow design corridor.