provided for each task. Total costs for the proposal shall not exceed $2,600,000 USD. Costs shall include maintenance of installed components for one year after acceptance.

Proposer shall submit the following in one separately sealed and clearly labeled envelope:

- The overall price and budget, showing the level of effort and cost breakdown by tasks identified in the scope.
- Provide cost breakdown by subconsultants, if any, goal attainability, based on current certification at time of proposal submission.

Proposer may also include additional recommended tasks, if desired, which should be clearly identified as optional tasks and should be included as separate line items in the proposed cost proposal.

In addition, provide a description of each labor category and corresponding labor rates (including overhead and profit) that will be used to provide additional support tasks for a period of up to five years after system acceptance as described in Section C.5.8 along with costs for maintenance of installed components for years two through five after acceptance. Optional costs related to maintenance and system support tasks and enhancements efforts responsive to DDOT needs will be estimated during proposal evaluation. The estimate will be based on the labor categories and rates and will be used in scoring the proposals. Incorporation of maintenance and additional services in this manner into the proposed cost is to assure comparability of proposals. Actual scope will be determined during contract negotiations and in conjunction with the exercise of options during contract execution.

The top-ranked proposer will be required to participate in negotiations which may result in revisions to the proposal. The cost and method of compensation will be negotiated with the top-ranked proposer.

L.2.5 References

Provide a maximum two-page resume for each key team member (including key personnel working for each subconsultant) and at least three references related to previous projects similar to this project, or elements of this project, on which the firm and key project staff worked. No more than one reference shall be from DDOT.

Include a brief project description, the project title, duration, budget, sponsoring agency, sponsor project manager, the specific work conducted, and roles played by individuals proposed for this contract. Include the name of the agency for which the work was performed, contact person name, telephone number, and year(s) that the work was done.
Provide a list of clients for whom the prime proposer has worked and name the specific work products produced. The client list will not be including in the page-count limit.

At least one reference is required for each subconsultant with a proposed budget over $25,000 total for this contract.

Provide a summary of all contracts that members of your team (including subconsultants) have

held with DDOT in the past three years, including a brief description of the scope of work, the contract amount, and date of execution.

Note: Resumes and other reference materials may be provided in the appendix to the proposal and will not count toward the page-count limit.

L.3 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION DATE AND TIME, AND LATE SUBMISSIONS, LATE MODIFICATIONS, WITHDRAWAL OR MODIFICATION OF PROPOSALS AND LATE PROPOSALS

L.3.1 Proposal Submission

Proposals must be submitted no later than2:00 PM, September 30, 2015. Proposals, modifications to proposals, or requests for withdrawals that are received in the designated District office after the exact local time specified above, are "late" and shall be considered only if they are received before the award is made and one (1) or more of the following circumstances apply:

(a) The proposal or modification was sent by registered or certified mail not later than the fifth (5th) day before the date specified for receipt of offers;

(b) The proposal or modification was sent by mail and it is determined by the CO that the late receipt at the location specified in the solicitation was caused by mishandling by the District, or

(c) The proposal is the only proposal received.

L.3.2 Withdrawal or Modification of Proposals

An offeror may modify or withdraw its proposal upon written, telegraphic notice, or facsimile transmission if received at the location designated in the solicitation for submission of proposals, but not later than the closing date and time for receipt of proposals.

L.3.3 Postmarks

The only acceptable evidence to establish the date of a late proposal, late modification or late withdrawal sent either by registered or certified mail shall be a U.S. or Canadian Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or on the original receipt from the U.S. or Canadian Postal Service. If neither postmark shows a legible date, the proposal, modification or request for withdrawal shall be deemed to have been mailed late. When the postmark shows the date but not the hour, the time is presumed to be the last minute of the date shown. If no date is shown on the postmark, the proposal shall be considered late unless the offeror can furnish evidence from the postal authorities of timely mailing.

L.3.4 Late Modifications

A late modification of a successful proposal, which makes its terms more favorable to the District, shall be considered at any time it is received and may be accepted.

L.3.5 Late Proposals

A late proposal, late modification or late request for withdrawal of a proposal that is not considered shall be held unopened, unless opened for identification, until after award and then retained with unsuccessful proposals resulting from this solicitation.

L.4 EXPLANATION TO PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS

If a prospective offeror has any questions relating to this solicitation, the prospective offeror shall submit the question in writing to the contact person, identified on page one. The prospective offeror shall submit questions no later than 13 days prior to the closing date and time indicated for this solicitation. The District will not consider any questions received less than 8 days before the date set for submission of proposals. The District will furnish responses promptly to all prospective offerors. An amendment to the solicitation will be issued if the CO decides that information is necessary in submitting offers, or if the lack of it would be prejudicial to any prospective offeror. Oral explanations or instructions given by District officials before the award of the contract will not be binding.

L.5 FAILURE TO SUBMIT OFFERS

Recipients of this solicitation not responding with an offer should not return this solicitation. Instead, they should advise the CO, Courtney Lattimore, 55 M St, SE Washington, DC 20003, 202-671-2270, by letter or postcard whether they want to receive future solicitations for similar requirements. It is also requested that such recipients advise the CO of the reason for not submitting a proposal in response to this solicitation. If a recipient does not submit an offer and does not notify the CO that future solicitations are desired, the recipient's name may be removed from the applicable mailing list.

L.6 RESTRICTION ON DISCLOSURE AND USE OF DATA

L.6.1 Offerors who include in their proposal data that they do not want disclosed to the public or used by the District except for use in the procurement process shall mark the title page with the following legend:

"This proposal includes data that shall not be disclosed outside the District and shall not be duplicated, used or disclosed in whole or in part for any purpose except for use in the procurement process.

If, however, a contract is awarded to this offeror as a result of or in connection with the submission of this data, the District will have the right to duplicate, use, or disclose the data to the extent consistent with the District's needs in the procurement process. This restriction does not limit the District's rights to use, without restriction,

information contained in this proposal if it is obtained from another source. The data subject to this restriction are contained in sheets (insert page numbers or other identification of sheets)."

L.6.2 Mark each sheet of data it wishes to restrict with the following legend:

"Use or disclosure of data contained on this sheet is subject to the restriction on the title page of this proposal."

L.7 PROPOSALS WITH OPTION YEARS

The offeror shall include option year prices in its price/cost proposal. For proposals with variable scope during options years, provide labor category and corresponding labor rates for additional or optional services. An offer may be determined to be unacceptable if it fails to include pricing for the option year(s).

L.8 PROPOSAL PROTESTS

Any actual or prospective offeror or contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation or award of a contract, must file with the D.C. Contract Appeals Board (Board) a protest no later than ten (10) business days after the basis of protest is known or should have been known, whichever is earlier. A protest based on alleged improprieties in a solicitation which are apparent at the time set for receipt of initial proposals shall be filed with the Board prior to the time set for receipt of initial proposals. In procurements in which proposals are requested, alleged improprieties which do not exist in the initial solicitation, but which are subsequently incorporated into the solicitation, must be protested no later than the next closing time for receipt of proposals following the incorporation. The protest shall be filed in writing, with the Contract Appeals Board, 717 14th Street, N.W., Suite 430, Washington, D.C. 20004. The aggrieved person shall also mail a copy of the protest to the Contracting Officer for the solicitation.

L.9 SIGNING OF OFFERS

The offeror shall sign the offer and print or type its name on the Solicitation, Offer and Award form of this solicitation. Offers signed by an agent shall be accompanied by evidence of that agent's authority, unless that evidence has been previously furnished to the Contracting Officer.

L.10 UNNECESSARILY ELABORATE PROPOSALS

Unnecessarily elaborate brochures or other presentations beyond those sufficient to present a complete and effective response to this solicitation are not desired and may be construed as an indication of the offeror's lack of cost consciousness. Elaborate artwork, expensive paper and bindings, and expensive visual and other presentation aids are neither necessary nor desired.

L.11 RETENTION OF PROPOSALS

All proposal documents will be the property of the District and retained by the District, and therefore will not be returned to the offerors.

L.12 PROPOSAL COSTS

The District is not liable for any costs incurred by the offerors in submitting proposals in response to this solicitation.

L.13 ELECTRONIC COPY OF PROPOSALS FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUESTS

In addition to other proposal submission requirements, the offeror must submit an electronic copy of its proposal, redacted in accordance with any applicable exemptions from disclosure in D.C. Official Code §2-534, in order for the District to comply with §2-536(b) that requires the District to make available electronically copies of records that must be made public. The District's policy is to release documents relating to District proposals following award of the contract, subject to applicable FOIA exemption under §2-534(a)(1).

L.14 CERTIFICATES OF INSURANCE

Prior to commencing work, the Contractor shall have its insurance broker or insurance company submit certificates of insurance giving evidence of the required coverages as specified in Section I.8 to:

Courtney B. Lattimore
Contracting Officer
District Department of Transportation (DDOT)
Office of Contracting and Procurement (OCP)
55 M Street SE, 7th floor
Washington, DC 20003
202-671-2270 (main)
Courtney.lattimore@dc.gov

L.15 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENTS

The offeror shall acknowledge receipt of any amendment to this solicitation (a) by signing and returning the amendment; (b) by identifying the amendment number and date in the space provided for this purpose in Section A, Solicitation, Offer and Award form; or (c) by letter, telegram or e-mail from an authorized negotiator. The District must receive the acknowledgment by the date and time specified for receipt of proposals. An offeror's failure to acknowledge an amendment may result in rejection of its offer.

L.16 BEST AND FINAL OFFERS

If, subsequent to receiving original proposals, negotiations are conducted, all offerors within the competitive range will be so notified and will be provided an opportunity to submit written best and final offers at the designated date and time. Best and final offers will be subject to the Late Submissions, Late Modifications and Late Withdrawals of Proposals provisions of the solicitation. After receipt of best and final offers, no discussions will be reopened unless the CO

determines that it is clearly in the District's best interest to do so, e.g., it is clear that information available at that time is inadequate to reasonably justify contractor selection and award based on the best and final offers received. If discussions are reopened, the CO shall issue an additional request for best and final offers to all offerors still within the competitive range.

L.17 LEGAL STATUS OF OFFEROR

Each proposal must provide the following information:

L.17.1 Name, address, telephone number and federal tax identification number of offeror;

L.17.2 A copy of each District of Columbia license, registration or certification that the offeror is required by law to obtain. This mandate also requires the offeror to provide a copy of the executed "Clean Hands Certification" that is referenced in D.C. Official Code §47-2862, if the offeror is required by law to make such certification. If the offeror is a corporation or partnership and does not provide a copy of its license, registration or certification to transact business in the District of Columbia, the offer shall certify its intent to obtain the necessary license, registration or certification prior to contract award or its exemption from such requirements; and

L.17.3 If the offeror is a partnership or joint venture, the names and addresses of the general partners or individual members of the joint venture, and copies of any joint venture or teaming agreements.

L.18 FAMILIARIZATION WITH CONDITIONS

Offerors shall thoroughly familiarize themselves with the terms and conditions of this solicitation, acquainting themselves with all available information regarding difficulties which may be encountered, and the conditions under which the work is to be accomplished. Contractors will not be relieved from assuming all responsibility for properly estimating the difficulties and the cost of performing the services required herein due to their failure to investigate the conditions or to become acquainted with all information, schedules and liability concerning the services to be performed.

L.19 GENERAL STANDARDS OF RESPONSIBILITY

The prospective contractor must demonstrate to the satisfaction of the District its capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements; therefore, the prospective contractor must submit the documentation listed below, within five (5) days of the request by the District.

L.19.1 Evidence of adequate financial resources, credit or the ability to obtain such resources as required during the performance of the contract.

L.19.2 Evidence of the ability to comply with the required or proposed delivery or performance schedule, taking into consideration all existing commercial and governmental business commitments.

L.19.3 Evidence of the necessary organization, experience, accounting and operational control, technical skills or the ability to obtain them.

L.19.4 Evidence of compliance with the applicable District licensing and tax laws and regulations.

L.19.5 Evidence of a satisfactory performance record, record of integrity and business ethics.

L.19.6 Evidence of the necessary production, construction and technical equipment and facilities or the ability to obtain them.

L.19.7 Evidence of other qualifications and eligibility criteria necessary to receive an award under applicable laws and regulations.

L.19.8 If the prospective contractor fails to supply the information requested, the CO shall make the determination of responsibility or nonresponsibility based upon available information. If the available information is insufficient to make a determination of responsibility, the CO shall determine the prospective contractor to be nonresponsible.

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS

M.1 EVALUATION FOR AWARD

The contract will be awarded to the responsible offeror whose offer is most advantageous to the District, based upon the evaluation criteria specified below. Thus, while the points in the evaluation criteria indicate their relative importance, the total scores will not necessarily be determinative of the award. Rather, the total scores will guide the District in making an intelligent award decision based upon the evaluation criteria.

M.2 TECHNICAL RATING

M.2.1 The Technical Rating Scale is as follows:

Numeric Rating	Adjective	Description
0	Unacceptable	Fails to meet minimum requirements; e.g., no demonstrated capacity, major deficiencies that are not correctable; offeror did not address the factor.
1	Poor	Marginally meets minimum requirements; major deficiencies which may be correctable.
2	Minimally Acceptable	Marginally meets minimum requirements; minor deficiencies which may be correctable.
3	Acceptable	Meets requirements; no deficiencies.
4	Good	Meets requirements and exceeds some requirements; no deficiencies.
5	Excellent	Exceeds most, if not all requirements; no deficiencies.

M.2.2 The technical rating is a weighting mechanism that will be applied to the point value for each evaluation factor to determine the offeror's score for each factor. The offeror's total technical score will be determined by adding the offeror's score in each evaluation factor. For example, if an evaluation factor has a point value range of zero (0) to forty (40) points, using the Technical Rating Scale above, if the District evaluates the offeror's response as "Good," then the score for that evaluation factor is 4/5 of 40 or 32.

If subfactors are applied, the offeror's total technical score will be determined by adding the offeror's score for each subfactor. For example, if an evaluation factor has a point value

range of zero (0) to forty (40) points, with two subfactors of twenty (20) points each, using the Technical Rating Scale above, if the District evaluates the offeror's response as "Good" for the first subfactor and "Poor" for the second subfactor, then the total score for that evaluation factor is 4/5 of 20 or 16 for the first subfactor plus 1/5 of 20 or 4 for the second subfactor, for a total of 20 for the entire factor.

M.3 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated based on a combined score from a proposal score and an interview score. If, in the sole opinion of DDOT, an interview is not required, the selection will be made based solely on the technical proposal. The total score will be based on the following evaluation factors in the manner described below:

M.3.1 PROPOSAL EVALUATION

The technical evaluation will consider both the capability of the proposer and the quality of the proposed solution as presented in the proposal submitted to DDOT.

M.3.1.1 PROPOSAL TECHNICAL CRITERIA (85 Points Maximum)

The following criteria and point system will be used to evaluate the capability of the proposing firm as represented in the technical proposals:

Knowledge and Understanding: Demonstrated understanding of the RFP objectives and functional requirements. Methods of approach, work plan and experience with similar projects. (10 points)

Technical/Management Approach: The quality of the technical approach, including software development approach, and capability of the proposer to execute the approach. (15 points)

Qualifications of the Proposer Firm: Technical experience in performing work related to type of services; experiences working with public agencies; record of completing work on schedule; strength and stability of firm; technical experience and strength and stability of proposed subconsultants; and assessments by client references. (20 points)

Schedule and Staffing Plan: The schedule for implementation of initial and subsequent phases and the ability of proposer to deliver qualified staff (particularly key personnel, and especially the project manager) and key personnel's level of involvement in performing related work. (10 points)

Solution Quality: The quality of the proposed solution. Quality will be assessed by an examination of the capability and completeness of the proposed software solution (particularly how the software solution interfaces with other systems and the software solution's flexibility). The state of implementation of each functional requirement will be examined. Core requirements are mandatory and used to determine responsiveness to the RFP, but will be given no additional weight. Partial implementations will be evaluated for partial value. (30 points)

M.3.1.2 PROPOSAL PRICE CRITERION (15 Points Maximum)

The price evaluation will be objective. The offeror with the price structure that is most advantageous to the District will receive the maximum price points. All other proposals will receive a proportionately lower total score.

M.4 EVALUATION OF OPTION YEARS

The District will evaluate offers for award purposes by evaluating the total price for two 1-year options as well as the base year. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the District to exercise them. The total District's requirements may change during the option years. Quantities to be awarded will be determined at the time each option is exercised.