AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

[redacted]

2. Amendment/Modification Number	3. Effective Date	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption
004	See Box 16C		***Design-Build Services for St. Elizabeth's East Campus Stage 1 Infrastructure Improvements Project***

6. Issued by: Code
District Department of Transportation
Office of Contracting and Procurement
55 M Street, SE, 7th Floor
Washington, DC 20003

7. Administered by (If other than line 6)

8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. street, city, county, state and zip code)

ALL PROSPECTIVE VENDORS

Code Facility

X 9A. Amendment of Solicitation No. **DCKA-2014-R-0063**

9B. Dated (See Item 11)

10A. Modification of Contractor/Order No.

10B. Dated (See Item 13)

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended ☒ is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required):

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTORS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14

☐ A. This change order is issued pursuant to (Specify Authority): The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.

☐ B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2.

☐ C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of:

☐ D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☒ is required to sign this document and return 1 copies to the issuing office.

14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Solicitation ***DCKA-2014-R-0063*** is hereby amended as follows:

A. Section F.2.1 is modified as follows:

"Traffic Management Plan" is required prior to NTP-2 and throughout the term of the contract.

15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name of Contracting Officer Courtney Lattimore Contracting Officer	
15B. Name of Contractor (Signature)	15C. Date Signed	16B. District of Columbia (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. Date Signed 9/17/14

2. Amendment/Modification Number	3. Effective Date	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption
004	See Box 16C		***Design-Build Services for the St. Elizabeth's East Campus, Stage 1 Infrastructure Improvements Project***

B. RID #31 is replaced with new RID #31. See Attachment J.13

C. Responses to Requests for Information are incorporated into the solicitation. See attached.

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

DCKA-2014-R-0063

No.	RFI	DDOT's Response
1	We could not find the Attachment 21 in RID's (Contractor Right of Entry Agreement). Our team wants to request a site visit for the St. Elizabeth East Campus. Please let us know on how we should proceed.	The Right of Entry Agreement Form is not required any longer for the site visit to the East Campus. Please refer to Amendment #1 (8/26/14), Section L.4.
2	In downloading the solicitation attachments, I noticed that the associated CADD files were not included in the attachments. Will those files be released to the prospective teams?	CADD files are made available via CD. Please refer to Amendment #2-F and G (9/3/14) for detailed instructions.
3	Does this Stage 1 include/cover Cabling (Data/Fiber Optic) infrastructure?	Stage 1 does not include the installation of cables, but does require the installation of conduits and pull wires. Civil infrastructure for ITS and telecommuncations are part of project work scope. Please refer to J.3 Technical Requirements (amended 8/26/14), Section C.5.10.
4	In Section C.5.12.2.7 Design Quality Management Plan, under the paragraphs for Certification of Compliance (Pages J.3-39 (amended 08/26/2014) and J.3-40 (amended 08/26/2014)) there is a reference to Section C.5.12.2.7.9, however Section C.5.12.2.7.9 appears to be missing. Please clarify.	The correct reference is C.5.11.2.7.
5	Section L.20 of the RFP has detailed, specific past experience requirements for the Lead Contractor, Lead Designer and Key Personnel. The effect of these requirements is to narrow the field of possible offerors. This seems to be in conflict with the Project's CBE goals. Will DDOT consider relaxing these requirements to enable participation by a wider pool of CBEs?	Qualification requirements for Lead Contractor and Lead Design will remain unchanged. Experience requirements for key personnel have been revised. Please refer to Amendment #003, dated 09/10/2014.

6	L.20.1.10 : states that the bond will be for the amount of Offeror's proposal. (A) Is a 100% performance bond being required? This will be an insurmountable obstacle for a CBE wishing to be the prime contractor. (B) Given that, can this requirement be met by bonds from the CBE prime as well as each sub in amounts proportional to the work they will be performing?	(A) Yes, a 100% performance bond is required as stated in the solicitation. (B) No
7	How can we get a copy of CAD files of the Preliminary plans? Are they in MicroStation? If not, what CADD software was used?	CADD files are made available via CD. Please refer to Amendment #2-F and G (9/3/14) for detailed instructions.
8	DC DOES approved apprenticeship Plan: If the team is a JV, is it required of all JV members?	If a joint venture is awarded the Contract, each joint venture member is required to register an apprenticeship program with DOES and comply with the requirements of Mayor's Order 83-265, 11 DCMR § 1100 et. seq. and DC Code § 3-1431 et. seq. As a subcontractor, a joint venture member is also subject to the requirements of Mayor's Order 83-265, 11 DCMR § 1100 et. seq. and DC Code § 3-1431 et. seq.
9	DC DOES approved apprenticeship Plan: If offeror's team is a prime with subcontractors/subconsultants, is it sufficient for just the Prime contractor to have an approved plan, or does each sub need one too?	All subcontractors who contract with the District of Columbia to perform construction, renovation work, or information technology work with a single contract, or cumulative contracts, of at least $500,000, within a 12-month period are required to register an apprenticeship program with DOES and comply with the requirements of Mayor's Order 83-265, 11 DCMR § 1100 et. seq. and DC Code § 3-1431 et. seq.

10	DC DOES approved apprenticeship Plan: Do firms responsible for tasks that do not have many roles for skilled or common laborers, apprentices, or journey workers, such as those firms doing engineering design, community outreach, etc, also need an apprenticeship plan?	If DOES determines that a subcontractors will not perform work that is subject to apprenticeships as required by Mayor's Order 83-265, 11 DCMR § 1100 et. seq. and DC Code § 3-1431 et. seq. , then the subcontractor will not have to register an apprenticeship program with DOES.
11	Shall we assume that engineering design drawings are not required as part of the proposal package?	The provision of engineering design drawings is not a requirement. It is within the offeror's sole discretion whether to provide engineering design drawings with its proposal for evaluation.
12	Given that major questions such as the ones posed here are still not resolved, is the proposal due date going to be extended from the current due date of October 2, 2014	The current due date of October 2, 2014 for the final proposal submission remains unchanged.
13	Is the transfer of ROW from the District to DDOT final, and if not, is there a proposed schedule for the transfer?	ROW transfer from the District to DDOT will occur following completion and acceptance of the Stage I improvements.
14	Which utilities are to be relocated at the expense of the D/B team; text in RFP is unclear. Please also clarify if new, replacement utilities are: (1) to be brought up to existing buildings; (2) if so, must they be hooked up to the buildings; (3) if so, must the Contractor remove or simply abandon the old lines? Will there be a requirement for temporary utility connections to buildings to remain in the period between demolition and final utility construction?	Refer to the scope included in Attachment J.3 and the Preliminary Plans included as a RID.

15	We recognize that the Contractor is responsible for the investigation and remediation of contaminated and/or hazardous soil/groundwater that may be encountered during excavation in the ROW. However, if such is deemed necessary by DDOT, what is the contractor's responsibility related to remediation that may extend outside the limits of either the ROW, or within or outside of the areas of disturbance around buildings to be demolished and/or new utility lines?	The contractor's responsibilities are within the project's construction limits, including impacts which may migrate from outside the construction limits to inside the construction limits due to contractor operations.
16	Will bidders be provided As-Built plans for buildings being demolished, especially Building 124 and 119? Can bidders have access to the buildings being demolished part of this contract?	No as-built are available for any of the buildings scheduled for demolition. No access will be provided.
17	RFP notes that NTP2 will not be issued until WMATA approves vent shaft security designs. Obtaining WMATA approvals is often a long process and one for which they require final design before approving. Has DDOT discussed the approval requirements with WMATA and, if so, can they provide these requirements so that they can be factored into the schedule?	WMATA review and approval will follow normal WMATA/JDAC requirements.
18	Section C.3.8.2.1 Mandatory Scope – Scope of Work Description for Site UtilityDemolition: Steam tunnels are identified. If so, can DDOT provide information regarding the locations, depths and condition of the steam tunnels? Have HAZMAT surveys been conducted within the steam tunnels? If so, are the HAZMAT survey reports available from DDOT?	All available steam tunnel information has already been provided. No HAZMAT surveys were conducted on the steam tunnels.

19	Section C.3.8.2.1 Mandatory Scope – Scope of Work Description for Architectural Demolition: Buildings, structures and all incidentals to be demolished are identified in this section. This list includes one emergency fuel tank (adjacent to Building 124). Is this the only known tank that is to be removed as part of the scope of work? The Final Environmental Assessment (June 2012) and other environmental documents identify numerous other underground storage tanks (USTs) and above ground storage tanks (ASTs) on the East campus. Does this RFP include the removal of any other underground or aboveground tanks?	Refer of the ESA RIDs. If previously unknown tanks are found, they will require removal.
20	We note that HAZMAT surveys have been obtained for Buildings 117, 119, and 124. Are HAZMAT surveys for the additional buildings slated for demolition (Buildings 127, 127A, 127B, 125, 129) available from DDOT? If not, under what item should bidders include the cost to perform HAZMAT Surveys for these additional buildings?	No HAZMAT surveys were performed for the noted buildings. All costs associated with surveys, remediation, demolition, etc., are considered incidental to the demolition of these buildings and should be included in the contractor's lump sum price.
21	Have any traffic studies been performed since those included in the Transportation Appendix to the EA?	No.
22	Have any signal warrant studies been performed?	No.
23	The soil borings provided in the Preliminary Plan 11/30/2012 are not for infiltration. Therefore they are not adequate for the design of stormwater management. An average infiltration rate for Hydrologic Soil Group D soils was used to estimate the depth of storage available for SWR treatment. Is there any other information available, such as percolation testing for stormwater management?	No.
24	Traffic Management Plan is required prior to NTP1. Believe this should be NTP2 since developing the comprehensive plan prior to issuance of NTP1 is impractical. Please clarify.	Submission of the TMP is required prior to NTP2 and an approved TMP is required prior to beginning of construction operations. Refer to Section C.5.27.2.1
25	The utilities demo drawings show pipe sizes for some but not for all of the buried piping system. Is this information available for the D-B team?	All available information has been provided.

26	Under section L.20 SPECIAL STANDARDS OF RESPONSIBILITY, sub section L.20.1.3 States the following: "Three completed copies of the Lead Contractor Work History Form completed and signed by Offeror, providing descriptions of the three sample projects. Attachment J.19." Form J.19 does not have a space for a signature. Where and how do we sign this form? Lead Designer Work History Form has a similar issue.	There is no need for this form to be signed.
27	Under section L.20 SPECIAL STANDARDS OF RESPONSIBILITY, sub section L.20.1.5 States the following: "A person who held the position of Design Manager for three design-build projects within the past ten years is Offeror's proposed design manager for the Project." In section L.2.1.2.3, Management and Key Personnel section, the requirements for Design Manager are: "List similar projects for which this person has performed a comparable function with the last five years." Is the requirement for the Management and Key Personnel section intended to be different from the requirement for the Special Standards of Responsibility section? Note that a similar discrepancy also occurs between these two sections for the experience required for the Project Manager.	This requirement has been revised as addressed in Amendment No. 003.
28	Are forms like Key Personnel part of the overall page count?	No.
29	Where should J.14 (Form of Payment for Work Product Agreement) be included?	With the Technical Proposal Attachments.
30	Where should J.15 (Non-Collusion affidavit) be included in our response?	With the Technical Proposal Attachments.
31	In Section 5.12.2.7 Design Quality Management Plan, under the paragraphs for certification of compliance (Pages J.3 -39 (amended 08/26/2014) and J.3-40 (amended 08/26/2014) there is a reference to section C.5.12.2.7.9, however Section C5.12.2.7.9 appears to be missing. Please clarify.	See response to question 4 in other matrix.

32	Price Breakdown Table – Attachment J.17 Line 28 (1) Is it expected that contaminated soils encountered on Site (and within the ROW) will require special handling and treatment as contaminated soil, as well as hazardous waste? If so, in Line 28, how should the respondents address the different transportation and disposal pricing (per cubic yard) that is associated with non-hazardous contaminated soil vs. other materials that may be defined as hazardous? (2) Does DDOT intend to specify definitions or specifications for the different categories of hazardous or contaminated substances (either existing independently, or mixed within soils) that are to be estimated in Line 28? Does DDOT intend to specify, for the purposes of this RFP, assumed quantities of the different categories of contaminated and hazardous materials that are to be removed? (3) In lieu of Line 28, would DDOT consider providing an RFP addendum that specifies an equal allowance to all bidders for the removal of contaminated soils and other materials with hazardous substances?	1- Contractor will need to legally dispose of all hazardous waste. It is up to the offeror to address this in their price. 2 - No. No. 3 - No.
33	C.5.16.1 states that Other Utility Work includes the reconditioning or replacements of the existing storm drain and sanitary sewer outfalls in the ravine. Is the Design Build team responsible for this work? Limits of sanitary sewer reconditioning or replacement are not clearly defined in the preliminary plans. Please provide limits of work.	Yes. Limits of reconditioning/replacement to be coordinated with DC Water.
34	Preliminary Plans show the existing storm drain outfall highlights as new. Is the design build team to price this component as reconditioned or replacement? Can the recondition method be more clearly defined for pricing?	Offeror is to price existing outfalls as new (replacements). Reconditioning is precluded.
35	Storm drain profiles Plan Sheets 4-6 label 54" Jacked, Concrete Pipe. Please define. Is the intension to bore and jack these pipe segments? Can these profiles be altered and open trench methods be used?	Means and methods are to be determined by the Contractor. Open trench method is not precluded.

36	J.3 states that no utility disruptions will be allowed for numerous Third Parties. It should be anticipated that limited, short duration shut-downs may be needed to reconnect services to the new infrastructure. Will this be allowed?	Limited, short duration interruptions may be allowed with proper coordination with affected utilities and third parties.
37	Will the new fire hydrants be expected to be "in-service" when construction is complete, considering the new water tower will not yet be operational to provide the flow and pressure required?	Yes.
38	C.5.15.1 states DMPED is negotiating and will provide finalized MOAs/MOUs page C.16.1.2 states Contractor to will be responsible to prepare and secure Utility MOAs/MOUs. Please clarify responsibility.	DMPED is negotiating and will provide finalized MOAs/MOU to the Contractor.
39	Sections describe contractor is responsible for "ROW surveying and mapping. Please clarify if this means contractor is required to provide all necessary dedication plats and subdivision plats for this project.	Contractor will not be required to provide dedication plats and subdivision plats.
40	For Record Drawings and Documentation, please provide further clarification of "The Contractor shall produce reports documenting the location of the as-built alignments, profiles, structure locations, Utilities, and Survey control monuments" Will contractor redline mark-ups be sufficient to meet this requirement or will 'Surveyed' as-builts be needed to meet this requirement?	As-builts are to be provided as required and in accordance with Attachment J.1.

41	The 3rd paragraph indicates "Preliminary design of the stormwater pipe system was sized for the 15-year storm event with no abstraction for the BMP volumes. The stormwater pipe system was designed to include Stage 1 parcel flow volumes with 50-percent on-Site detention and future conceptual Stage 2 development areas." Does the first sentence apply to BMP's installed in the R/W, and the second sentence applies to adjacent private property's quantity detention? Please specify "50-percent on-Site detention." Does this mean that 50% of the private site area will provide quantity control per DDOE requirements?	The proposed ROW storm sewer system was designed to collect the entire ROW flow volumes and both Stage 1 & Stage 2 flow volumes. The referred BMPs are located in the ROW. If the development parcels are able to meet their stormwater retention volume requirements, their quantity control volumes would discharge to the proposed ROW storm sewer system. Therefore, 50% onsite detention includes both retention and quantity control volumes and is not a DDOE requirement. The Stage 1 and 2 parcel calculations did not use the 2013 DDOE SWM requirements; therefore, the 50% volume was an estimate at what their BMPs would be able to provide including both the stormwater retention and quantity control volumes.
42	Item b indicates "Stormwater retention volume computations for entire Site and each individual drainage area." Please verify the term "Site" refers to the R/W, and the project includes SWM for the R/W only.	The parcels outside of the ROW discharge to the storm sewer system in the ROW; these discharges, which are calculated by others, are included in the ROW Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP).
43	The second to last paragraph makes the distinction between new roadway construction and reconstruction of existing roadways, in regard to obtaining DDOE approvals. Please indicate if this distinction was made in regard to Maximum Extent Practical requirement, or to other aspects. Has DDOE pre-approved this distinction?	It is in regards to MEP requirements only. Yes, DDOE has approved this distinction.

44	Are the quantity and rate control requirements mentioned in this section in regard to downstream conditions only or other requirements? The DDOE SWM Rule document does not list stormwater quantity / rate requirements beyond the retention requirement.	DDOE SWM Guidebook (2013) states there should be no increase in flooding and/or stream channel erosion at a downstream structure, highway, or natural point of restricted stream flow. DDOE SWMG, Table 2.1, Storm Control requirements: 2-yr storm: The peak discharge rate from the 2-year, 24-hour storm event controlled to the pre-development peak discharge rate. 15-yr storm: The peak discharge rate from the 15-year, 24-hour storm event controlled to the pre-project peak discharge rate.
45	The Preliminary Plans show electrical manholes at sizes of 5'x6.5' or 5'x10'. If the electrical manholes are to be approvable by Pepco, these manholes will likely need to be the 6' x 12' size as Pepco uses for their typical new "roadway line manholes." (Inside dimensions are 6'x12', outside dimensions are 7'x13'). What size manhole should be priced?	All manholes are required to meet each utility's standards.
46	It is noted that the most recent version of Attachment J.3. does not include any reference to Verizon or Comcast for any new telecom services, and indicates that DC Net will be the primary service provider to the East Campus sites. Is the intent to allow the DC Net conduit/manhole system be open for other telecom providers to use? Will any approval or review by Verizon or Comcast be required for the design and layout of the proposed conduit and manhole system?	First question is not answered as it has no relevance to theofferors. No.
47	The Lighting Plans in the PE Plans show locations of street light poles, but do not show any conduit or manholes that DDOT will require for public street lights. The conduit and manhole systems for DDOT public street lights are a major component of the infrastructure for public roads. Is it the contractors responsibility to design and provide the conduit and manhole system for the public street lights?	Yes.

48	Is it the contractor's responsibility to perform the photometric study for the public streetlights to determine optimal lighting spacing and brightness (wattage) for the poles and fixtures, in order to provide the minimum or desired light illumination levels based on DDOT roadway and land use classifications? It is noted that Attachment J.3. page 102 specifies LED fixtures on DC standard 16-foot poles for Historic streets and LED fixtures on standard 30 foot poles for Modern streets, but no wattage(s) for the LED fixtures were listed.	Yes.
49	As per Amendment #3 for the St. Elizabeth East Campus DB project, Section L.20.1.4 and L.20.1.6 states that the Design Build Project Manager and Construction Manager shall be a professional engineer registered in Washington D.C. Like DDOT has accepted in the past, would DDOT accept professional registrations from MD and VA for this project?	The Design Manager and Lead Quality Control Manager must obtain a DC PE license within three (3) months of date of contract award.
50	For this project is DDOT engaging only one firm to do the design build and this one firm can subcontract tasks to various firms? We are interested in the public information and communications task. Can we submit a proposal for this task only (C.5.11.5)? Just making sure of our options.	The District will only accept a full proposal as outlined in Section L of the solicitation.
51	On the Stormwater Profile Sheets 124-143 of 226 the term "Jacked Concrete Pipe Req'd" occurs approximately 39 times for pipe runs. Does this infer that the Jack and Bore method is to be used for installation of pipe? If not, please explain the scope of work	We did not locate this note on the set of plans that we have. However, as noted in previous questions/answers, the means and methods of construction are to be determined by the contractor. Open trench method is not precluded.
52	Do you have details for "Areas Available For Stormwater BMP (Enhanced Bioretention) shown in plan view on sheets 106-120 of 226?	No.
53	The "Index of Drawings" sheet 2 of 226 does not include the "Potable Water Plans" reference and sheet numbers.	Potable water plans are referenced on sheet 2 of 226 as well sheets 171 through 184.

54	The note on sheet 200 of 226 indicates that the gas main work will be done by Washington Gas. Does the contractor have to obtain an estimate from Washington Gas and include it in his bid?	No.