

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. Contract Number	Page of Pages 1 1	
2. Amendment/Modification Number A0001		3. Effective Date See 16C	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption USI Solution	
6. Issued By: Code _____ D.C. Office of the State Superintendent of Education 810 1st Street, N.E., 9th Floor Washington, D.C. 20002 Janet Spevak 202-741-5937			7. Administered By (If other than line 6) DC Office of the State Superintendent of Education Division of Education Excellence 810 1st Street NE, 9th Floor Washington, D.C. 20002		
8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. Street, city, country, state and ZIP Code) To All Prospective Quoters			(X)	9A. Amendment of Solicitation No. DCGD-2010-R-0042	
				9B. Dated (See Item 11) 28-Jul-10	
				10A. Modification of Contract/Order No.	
				10B. Dated (See Item 13)	
Code _____	Facility _____				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input type="checkbox"/> is extended. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14					
A. This change order is issued pursuant to: (Specify Authority)					
The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.					
B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2.					
C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of:					
x D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)			Q & A		
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return <u>1</u> copies to the issuing office.					
14. Description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)					
1. This amendment provides answers to questions received in response to the RFP. (See Attachment 1).					
<p>Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item (9A or 10A) remain unchanged and in full force and effect</p>					
15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)			16A. Name of Contracting Officer Carole Lee		
15B. Name of Contractor (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. Date Signed	16B. District of Columbia (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. Date Signed 8/13/2010

ATTACHMENT 1

Question and Answers

RFP DCGD-2010-R-0042 USI SOLUTION

The Office of the State Superintendent of Education responds to questions received from the RFP solicitation as follows:

Question 1: With regard to requirements DM-1 and USI-8, we would appreciate additional information on how the existing 10 digit ID's were constructed. For example, does it include a check digit?

Answer: *The current USI logic does not use a check digit and uses a function to generate a number between 1000000000 and 9999999999 using help from a database random function.*

Question 2: a. Referencing Section 16, item ii on page 54 of the above RFP. Will the DC Office of the State Superintendent of Education consider the following application architectures:

1. J2EE Front End /Oracle Database
2. .Net Front End / Oracle Database

Answer: *OSSE will consider all architecture solutions so long as they meet our stated criteria. Note that we currently operate using SQL and Access Databases.*

Question 2: b. Section 8 (page 30) of the RFP references the envisioned architecture for the USI Solution, but no diagram is provided.

Also, please advise if DC OSSE has a milestone schedule for this project that vendors are requested to hit. Please let us know if a diagram is available.

Answer: **The diagram is attached to this amendment.**

Additionally, OSSE aims to have the USI solution fully operational within five months of the contract award date.

Question 3: Regarding requirements P-1 through P-26:

Is the OSSE seeking the implementation of a portal as part of this solicitation, or is the OSSE seeking a USI solution that integrates with the OSSE's existing or planned portal and that complies with the web interface standards of the DC Government?

Answer: *SharePoint 2007 is currently OSSE's enterprise portal solution and OSSE intends to upgrade to SharePoint 2010. We expect the USI solution to include a web interface that meets the requirements in the Portal section of the RFP. These requirements can be addressed via the USI solution, a Portal solution or integrating with OSSE's SharePoint solution. The responses should make it clear to OSSE how the Offeror will meet each requirement via the USI web interface, Portal solution or OSSE's SharePoint.*

Question 4: For Requirements P-1 through P-26, it appears that you are seeking certain functionality from a portal and some from the USI application. Please confirm which requirements are applicable to the USI application, which are applicable to a portal and which requirements apply to both.

Answer: *See response to Question 3.*

Question 5: Given the nature of and use for this application, is it reasonable for us to assume that none of the requirements in P-1 through P-26 that mention public access, public views, public reports etcetera are applicable?

Answer: *See response to Question 3.*

Question 6: If OSSE is only seeking a USI application rather than both a USI application and a portal, is it reasonable for us to assume that requirement P-16 does not apply to this procurement?

Answer: *See response to Question 3.*

Question 7: Regarding Requirement number P-26 "Provide Canned reports to meet the District requirement during the requirements gathering phase", Can you please provide further clarification and a few examples?

Answer: *OSSE expects standard reports to be easily available to its users. For example, the number of USIs assigned by school and/or the number of USIs assigned over a designated time period. OSSE expects the vendor to use its expertise during the requirements gathering phase to recommend reports.*

Question 8: Regarding the requirement OC-7, “Stores a minimum of 21 years of student data and facilities information for each record”, Is the OSSE’s looking for the capability to add storage over time and grow the system to store the 21 years of data for each record, or does OSSE desire this as part of the initial project requirement?

Answer: *OSSE desires this as part of the initial project requirement.*

Question 9: Under section 19, “Offeror Response Format- Document Deliverables”, Could you please clarify the numbering arrangement for the outline of document deliverables?

Answer: *Below is the revised numbering for receipt of document deliverables:*

- a. Introduction**
- b. Team Arrangement**
 - i. Provide information on prime and all subcontractors.*
- c. Assumptions and Needs from OSSE**
 - i. Offeror Assumptions and Needs from OSSE*
- d. Project Management**
 - i. Project Management and Implementation Methodology*
 - ii. Project Deliverables*
 - iii. Quality Assurance*
 - iv. Test Plan and Procedure*
- e. Implementation**
 - i. Unique Student Identifier (USI)*
 - ii. Data Migration*
 - iii. Operations/Capacity*
- f. Portal**
 - i. Portal*
- g. Architecture**
 - i. Enterprise Architecture*
 - ii. Provide hardware and software details*
- h. Security**
 - i. System Security and Security Plan*
- i. System Administration**
 - i. System Administration*
- j. Transition/Operational Support**
 - i. Transition, Support & Training Services*
- k. Additional Topics**
 - i. Offerors should use this section to add any items they feel are relevant outside of the above sections that could enhance the proposal response.*

I. Resumes

i. Provide resumes of all key

Question 10: With Regard to section 19 “Offeror Response Format- Document Deliverables” of the RFP, we have not found any reference to the following terms:

- User Community
- Teach and Staff Module
- Electronic Transcripts
- Data Transfer
- Decision Support Software

Can you please provide additional information regarding these items?

Answer: ***Please refer to the revised numbering/order in the response to Question 9.***

Question 11: Regarding Section 16, item “ii “- Please clarify whether COTS solutions written in software frameworks other than .NET can be proposed as long as the vendor has a history of providing Tier 4 support to state education agencies already using this COTS solution and is prepared to provide Tier 4 support to the District of Columbia’s data center and OSSE?

Answer: ***OSSE is looking for the vendor to propose and deliver the best solution.***

Question 12: Please confirm that DC owns licenses and hardware for a SIF compliant zone integration server.

Answer: ***OSSE does not own licenses and hardware for SIF compliant zone integration server.***

Question 13: Please confirm the preferred enterprise reporting and business intelligence tool set in use in DC OSSE (i.e Congos, Oracle EBI, etc.)

Answer: ***See response to Question 3.***

Question 14: Please confirm that the proposal outline in section 19 contains typos, and that a serial progression of the sections is desired.

Answer: ***Yes, a serial progression of the sections is desired. Please refer to the revised numbering/order in the response to Question 9.***

Question 15: Our USI application requires a database (MS SQL Server or Oracle). Should we include the cost of a database license in our proposal?

Answer: *Each response should include all costs associated with the solution.*

Question 17: Can the due date for the RFP be amended and extended?

Answer: *No.*

1. ENVISIONED ARCHITECTURE

Below is the envisioned architecture for the USI Solution:

