AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT	1. Contract Number	Page of Pages 1 | 1

2. Amendment/Modification Number	3. Effective Date	4. Requisition/Purchase Request No.	5. Solicitation Caption
DCAM-2010-D-0006-002	29-Jan-10		**See Below**

6. Issued By: Code 03B	7. Administered By (If other than line 6)
Department of Real Estate Services Contracting and Procurement Division 2000 14th Street N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20009	Department of Real Estate Services Contracting and Procurement Division 2000 14th Street N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20009

8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. Street, city, country, state and ZIP Code)	(X)	9A. Amendment of Solicitation No.
		DCAM-2010-D-0006
		9B. Dated (See Item 11) 11-Dec-09
		10A. Modification of Contract/Order No.
		10B. Dated (See Item 13)
Code Facility		

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[ ] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [ ] is extended. [x] is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copy of the amendment: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14

(X)	A. This change order is issued pursuant to: (Specify Authority) The changes set forth in Item 14 are made in the contract/order no. in item 10A.
	B. The above numbered contract/order is modified to reflect the administrative changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) set forth in item 14, pursuant to the authority of 27 DCMR, Chapter 36, Section 3601.2.
	C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of:
	D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not, [ ] is required to sign this document and return _____ copy to the issuing office.

14. Description of amendment/modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

1. ANNOUNCEMENT NO. DCAM-2010-D-0006, IDIQ FOR ARCHITECT-ENGINEER PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT SERVICES is amended to include the following documents:

ATTACHMENT A: ANSWERS TO OFFEROR QUESTIONS

ATTACHMENT B: A/E IDIQ CONTRACTS INVENTORY

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item (9A or 10A) remain unchanged and in full force and effect

15A. Name and Title of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name of Contracting Officer **Diane Wooden**	
15B. Name of Contractor (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. Date Signed	16B. District of Columbia Diane Wooden (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. Date Signed 1/29/10

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

Quest. No.	Question	Answer
1.	In the first paragraph there is a requirement for written notice if a policy is reduced via endorsement. Our insurance consultant has informed us that insurance companies are not legally obligated to inform insureds when coverage is reduced. Is this requirement something that can be modified?	The insurance carrier will "endeavor" to notify the certificate holder of a reduction of coverage, but the vendor will ultimately be held responsible for notifying the District of a reduction of policy limits or coverage.
2.	This IDIQ is for the design profession, but the insurance requirements include paragraphs for Builder's Risk and Installation-Floater Insurance. These are insurances carried by construction companies. Are these coverages going to be required from the design professionals?	The insurance requirements attachment to the solicitation represents the District's general risk management requirements. Each Task Order requests on the IDIQ contracts will be issued with insurance requirements determined by the Office of Risk Management to be relevant to the work to be performed on the Task Order. Builder's Risk and Installation –Floater Insurance will not be required of Design professionals unless they are also providing the subcontractor that will provide the build portion of the IDIQ.
3.	Umbrella or Excess Liability Ins. Is set at $10,000,000. This limit seems high. Can this be negotiated based on the individual projects awarded?	The insurance requirements attachment to the solicitation represents the District's general risk management requirements. Each Task Order requests on the IDIQ contracts will be issued with insurance requirements determined by the Office of Risk Management to be relevant to the work to be performed on the Task Order.
4.	Environmental Liability Insurance. Our insurance consultant advises that mold and asbestos cannot be covered. Is this requirement negotiable?	Mold and asbestos are typically excluded on General liability policies, but the EL policy normally addresses the remediation of the occurrence of mold or asbestos in pre-existing structures. As with Questions 2 and 3, the insurance requirements attachment to the solicitation represents the District's general risk management requirements. Each Task Order requests on the IDIQ contracts will be issued with insurance requirements determined by the Office of Risk Management to be relevant to the work to be performed on the Task Order.
5.	Regarding potential teams members for the A/E Service Areas	Yes

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

	In contracts where the scope of work is fairly broad as it is in this IDIQ, we usually like to have several consultants in each discipline ,(i.e. Structural or Landscape Design), who are better "fits" in a specific task. In listing out team members for this IDIQ, can we have more than one consultant listed as a team member in a sub area?	
6.	Generally SF 330 require 10 projects If we plan to submit on each of the 10 sub areas, are we then required to submit 5 projects for each of the sub areas?	Yes. See Question #10 from Amendment #1
7.	If we go after the MEP portion of this RFQ providing all Services included in the Service Sub-Areas (A. – H.), does that mean we have to provide a total of 50 project sheets? I understand that we can repeat the project sheets as long as we highlight the sub area we are addressing. If we provide 50 project sheets.	Keeping in mind that the Qualifications will be reviewed by different individuals and teams, each evaluator needs to have in their hand whatever is necessary to evaluate a subsection. A person evaluating historic preservation may not be the same person evaluating electronics and security, even if the same project demonstrates your qualifications to do both historic preservation and electronics/security. Yes, provide one project sheet for each project you submit. As noted in section 4.3.a.F.ii of the RFQ.
8.	For Section G, do you want us to fill out that matrix for all projects (50 for MEP portion) or do you want us to submit a completed section G matrix with the "main" 10 projects excluding the sub area projects?	Section G should include Key Personnel from all projects submitted, not just the 10 projects of that service area, and not excluding sub-area projects. See the answer to Question #68 of Amendment #1.
9.	To demonstrate the breadth of services our team will bring, do you want us to demonstrate 5 projects for each of the specialties that are not listed?	Yes, as per section 6.5.b, second paragraph, the second Sub-Factor description.

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

10.	Although the Evaluation Factor "Professional Qualifications", will be evaluated in the SF330 Parts 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D & 1E, should we also include a narrative in our Section H regarding our response to this factor?	Any narratives you believe are important to the area under evaluation you should include within that area of evaluation. E.g., elaboration about your Proposed Team should be attached to section 4.3.a, Tab A-B-C-D-E, so that the evaluator will read your intention about the Team while evaluating the Team.
11.	Although the Evaluation Factor "Specialized Experience and Technical Competence" will be evaluated in the SF330 Parts 1F and 1G, should we also include a narrative in our Section H regarding our response to this factor?	Similar to Question #10, above, you may (but are not required to) attach a narrative about the LISTED sub areas TO these subfactor sections. In those instances where you are submitting for Specialties (Sub-Areas) outside of those listed, and submitting them as 'Specialties' (Specialists in the Field) under section 6.5.b, you may (but are not required to) attach a separate narrative for each Specialty, with each respective Specialty.
12	The RFP states that the relevant section on the SF330 for the evaluation factor "Capacity to accomplish the work in the required time" is Part 2. Should this be Section H instead? The Part 2 form doesn't have a place for this information.	No. As noted in the RFQ, section 6.3, "The Proposer shall supplement the SF330 to inform the District of the firm's capabilities . . ." The additional information ***can be presented as narrative, charts, and/or other.*** The CAD/Technological, Quality Assurance and Capacity/Workload information we are requesting augments the 'General Qualifications' (Section II) section of the SF330, addressing capability and capacity issues, rather than the more 'qualitative' information requested in sections ID – IH. It may appear 'out of sequence' only because the evaluation criteria of the RFQ follows the order of DCMR27 Section 2620.5, which is a different order than how the SF330 is organized.
13	Does DCRES see the need to include any of the following consultants for services under the Architectural/Engineering IDIQ contracts? Acoustical Design Foodservice Architectural Lighting Geotechnical Engineering Communications Systems	The RFQ lists 'Specialties (sub-areas) than 'include, but are not limited to . . . ' those listed. Any additional service sub-areas – such as those you've listed – may be submitted as Specialties, under section 6.5.b, as, for example, would Civil Engineering services for any of the Service areas, or MEP for the A/E Service area, or A/E for the MEP Service Area.

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

	Audio-visual Systems	
14	We are an MEP firm interested in pursuing the MEP IDIQ Contract. We wish to clarify that if we (as a single firm) are capable of representing the required experience for the various subcategories that subconsultants are not required to be included in the submission. This includes architects, structural engineers, etc., even though we may need to use them to actually complete the work once that task order is issued	As n MEP firm seeking consideration in the MEP Service Area, if you are capable of representing the required experience for the service sub-areas listed (a – h) in house (internally to your firm), you are NOT required to include subconsultants for sub-areas that are not listed (such as architects, structural engineers, etc.). You will be evaluated on your ability to address the listed services, as well as any Specialties you may choose to add in section 6.5.b of the RFQ. After you have been qualified for this list, if a Task Order requires specialties/service sub-areas outside of those eight listed (a – h) you may subcontract those services at that time.
15	Please provide a list of current contract holders for A/E services or holders of similar contracts	See the attached list of current IDIQ A/E contract holders.
16.	For the Hazardous Materials Abatement contracts - if a firm can provide all services in house except for analytical laboratory testing services, would the CBE requirement still apply? To our knowledge, there are currently no CBE laboratories so it does not appear that this requirement can be fulfilled. Please advice.	The 35% CBE sub-contracting requirement is the law and will apply in all such circumstances except in those cases where DSLBD grants the vendor a waiver. In seeking a waiver from DSLBD the vendor has to provide sufficient evidence that no CBE firm has the capabilities to perform the services in question.
17	For firms proposing on the Interiors Contract only, is it correct to assume that it is not expected that those firms include consultants for MEP, Structural, Lighting, etc.?	Under this RFQ, they are not REQUIRED to include consultants for MEP, Structural, Lighting, etc. However, as noted in several answers in Amendment #1 (e.g. #'s 6 & 8), you may choose to include these other consultants as part of your team, to show greater breadth of service. This may strengthen your evaluation under 6.1 – Proposed Team and Organization Chart. However, if you choose to include these as 'Specialties', they will only be counted as

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

		'Specialty Points' in section 6.5.b.
18	For the A/E Contract, in addition to the services we provide, should we include additional consultants?	See the answers to #'s 6 & 8, Amendment #1, and #'s 13 & 17 above.
19.	If consultants are needed does their status as Small, Disabled, Women owned enterprises only qualify if they are registered in the District of Columbia?	Consultants must be certified by the District of Columbia Government Department of Small and Local Business Development.
20.	On page 8 of the RFP under Number 4, "Proposers shall submit Performance Evaluations from at least 2 clients in each Service sub-area" Is it correct then to assume for firms proposing on Interiors only, that it is expected that the minimum of 2 performance evaluations is acceptable?	Yes
21	Who are the incumbent firms for the A/E and Interior Design Contracts?	See No. 15.
22	If submitting for the Interior Design Contract, should we include a separate MEP Engineer on the team or will those services be handled strictly under the MEP Contract?	See the answer to #17 above.
23	Commitment to Use Submitted Consultants After reading the Amendment, we still believe that this issue is not clear. Under the current IDIQ contracts, a prime is required to either perform task-order services in-house, with consultants in the IDIQ, or receive written permission to use either an alternate or additional consultant. Please provide clear and definitive responsibilities with regard to the prime's commitment to submitted consultants. For this contract, we understand that a prime would be able to add and/or	As we understand it, there are three parts to this question: a. Will the prime be required to use the subconsultants submitted with this RFQ on a Task Order? • Yes – the prime will be required to use the IDIQ-submitted consultants on a Task Order. b. Is the prime allowed to use an alternate or additional consultant from the subconsultants submitted with this RFQ

	substitute consultants, *without written permission*, to meet the 35% CBE requirement.	on a Task Order? • Yes – but they must submit a written request to do so, accompanied by the qualifications of the alternate or additional consultant at the time of the proposal for the Task Order. A prime is NOT allowed to add and/or substitute consultants WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION from the District c. If the prime proposes to add and/or substitute consultants, are they required to meet the 35% CBE requirement with the added or substituted consultants? • Yes – the 35 % CBE requirement applies to all instances of using subconsultants. *If it is not possible to meet the 35% CBE requirement for any reason, the answer to #16, above, applies.*
24	<u>Fee</u> The pricing structure in the Solicitation is clear. What is unclear is that the fee is 'fixed' while the task scopes and the number of consultants required is not. The Solicitation allows for a few exceptions in the fee structure. Could DRES provide a brief narrative regarding how fee adjustments will be made for individual projects?	Fees for work under A/E, Interior Design, and MEP contracts (fees for Hazmat Services were described in question #28 of Amendment #1): • Before issuance of a Task Order: Fee is established as described, applying Complexity or Simplicity Factors **up to** the percentages noted. A case would need to be made to the District's satisfaction, to justify an additional Complexity Factor (not to exceed the 6 noted) or a higher percentage determined by the District, but not to exceed the percentages listed. • After a task Order is issued, any Contract Modifications will be negotiated. As noted in the RFQ, at the District's discretion – it *may* negotiate additional Professional Services in either of the methods described below: o Additional Professional Services – A proposal for additional services will be requested based upon likely staffing hours, scope and/or specific requirements of the additional work, and negotiated to the District's

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

		satisfaction; o Change in Project Scope – Design fee --- both Title I and Title II services – is to be recalculated based upon the revised estimate of the cost of construction.
25	Insurance 1. The coverage requirements listed in Attachment 2 include several types of insurance that are not applicable to all services: for instance items *c., d., g. & h.* do not apply to A/E services – does it follow that these coverages will not be required on AE services? 2. Item *e.* is very high per industry standards, even for large firms; will the District consider a reduction? 3. While a prime must meet the District's insurance requirements, it is common that small business consultants cannot meet them, generally carrying lower coverage amounts, particular on items *e. & f.*; will the District consider lower coverages for small firms?	The insurance requirements attachment to the solicitation represents the District's general risk management requirements. Each Task Order requests on the IDIQ contracts will be issued with insurance requirements determined by the Office of Risk Management to be relevant to the work to be performed on the Task Order.
26	Professional Service Areas On page 3, could DRES define "Peer" as used in "Peer Review" (Sub Area *i.* under Architectural/Engineering)? Is the District looking for a consultant, and if so, would a different office of the same company satisfy the intention?	The District may issue a Task Order to perform 'peer review' services – technical or constructability review – for an independent project. You would NOT be asked to 'Peer Review' your own project. A different office of the same company can satisfy the intention, but would not be used to 'Peer Review' the same company's work.
27	For the Interior Design Service Area, is design complete satisfactory? Can we submit completed programming and space planning studies as project examples?	You may submit projects whose design is complete, but which were never constructed. Be advised -- submit as complete a package, which demonstrates your Team's ability to do the Service Area's work, as you can. You may submit programming and space planning if you believe it describes how you can meet the District's needs.

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

28	If submitting for the Interior Design Service Area, for supplemental specialty service areas, should the five projects be listed in Section H or under a "Specialty" tab in section F?	Section 4.3.a.iii of the RFQ indicates that under Tab F, Interior Design Services are to submit ten (10) projects. If you want to demonstrate a 'specialty' (Specialist in the Field) in Interior Design – beyond meeting the requirements of Service Area 4, you must ADD that as a 'Specialty' in section H, as described under 6.5.b (Five projects per 'specialty').
29	In responding to "6.3 Capacity to accomplish the work in the required time", the RFQ requests we provide information on our CAD capabilities, our quality assurance program, etc… The SF 330 Part 2 does not include a narrative section. Should our response to these items be included in Section H of the SF330 Part 1 or as a supplemental section behind SF330 Part 2?	The response to 6.3 (SF 330, Part 2) can be presented as a narrative, charts and/or other. See the answer to question #12, above.
30	May we use 11"x17" paper for the SF330 Part 1 Section G matrix?	Yes, though folded to fit within your 8-1/2" x 11"binder. In any case, ensure that the information is clear and easy to read and understand.
31	In **6.0 Selection Process**, under **3. Capacity to accomplish work in the required time**, the RFQ states that "the relevant section on the SF 330 is Part 2." The SF330 Part 2 is a form detailing General Qualifications; this form does not reference quality assurance and workload, nor does it provide space for additional text. Can this information be provided instead in Part 1 in Section H Additional Information?	See the answers to questions #12 and 29, above.
32	Is it correct to assume that although MEP and Hazardous Materials Abatement are identified as separate categories that those who are submitting for the Architectural/Engineering category should include MEP and HazMat consultants on their team?	See the answers to Question #'s 6, 8, 11 and 22 of Amendment #1.
33	Is it correct to assume that site/civil engineering services will be required for task orders issued to firms selected for the Architectural/Engineering category?	Civil Engineering services ***may*** be requested of Task Orders issued to firms selected for the A/E Service Area. See the answers to Question #'s 6, 8, 11 and 22 of Amendment #1

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

34	Depending on the nature/scope of an interior renovation project, MEP and structural engineering consultants are sometimes required. Should firms submitting for the interior design category be sure to have MEP and structural engineers on their design teams?	See the answers to Question #'s 6, 8, 11 and 22 of Amendment #1
35	Are we correct in interpreting that each of the five awarded Hazardous Materials Abatement Testing and Plan Contracts will be for a not-to-exceed amount of $15,000,000.00 over the life of each contract (base + 2 option years) for a total DRES contract capacity of $75,000,000.00 for these services?	Yes. However, this does not in any way mean that DRES intends to perform $75Million in HazMat Services. Similarly, the overall contract capacity implicit in awarding 20 A/E, 5 ID and 10 MEP contracts does not in any way mean that DRES intends to perform that much capital work. These contracts give the District options, and does not inadvertently unduly restrict the District, should a limit be reached by a contractor. Further, these contracts may be used by other District agencies as well.
36	What percentage of the projects budgeted for this contract are for existing buildings? How many of those are designated as historic?	There is a wide variety of projects, as described in the large paragraph towards the bottom of Page 3 of the RFQ. The vast majority of the work is for existing buildings. Contract capacity was not 'budgeted' to the numbers of facilities that may be historic – or any other characteristic. The intent is to have adequate qualified firms to address any design task that is required, as it is required.
37	Since this contract includes the procurement of services from MEP engineering firms, would you prefer that we work with firms from your pool of already-contracted MEP engineering firms? Or would you prefer we propose an MEP engineering firm as part of our team?	The District has no preference in this matter, for the purposes of this RFQ. Consultants will be put on the lists based on the submission, and how well it addresses Evaluation Criteria described in section 6 of the RFQ. After selection to be on the list, if a Team needs to add a Sub-Consultant to meet the needs of a specific Task Order, the District will determine if the proposed sub-consultant is acceptable to the District.
38	Would we need to include SF330, Part I, Section G for each Specialty Service? This is in reference to your request noted in Section 4.0 Submittal Requirements, #3, a), F:, ii. (top of page 5) in the RFQ.	An Additional SF 330 Section G – Key Personnel Participation – is NOT required for each Specialty Service (nor for each Service Sub-area). On the one submission, mark the projects which are only Specialty Services, but include in the one Section G Submission.

ANSWERS TO OFFERORS QUESTIONS

		See the answer to question #68 of Amendment #1
39	Do the representative projects we submit as experience need to be substantially completed?	No. Section F, item # 22 of SF330 indicates 'year completed' of professional services and for construction. In Item #24 you indicate 'relevance to this contract', where you may choose to note special circumstances related to the project.
40	For the Peer Review specialty, are you looking for a peer reviewer who is accredited or certified?	The District is NOT looking for peer reviewers who have 'peer review certification', as some professions provide. However, Peer Reviewers should be Registered or Certified Professionals in the area of expertise for which they may be required to peer review.

A/E IDIQ Contracts Inventory

Vendor	OCP Number	Period of performance
Alphatec apg@alphatec.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-10-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
Architrave Robert.weinstein@architravepc.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-21-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
Atelier Architects cfaust@atelierarchitects.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-19-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
Bell Architects David.bell@ballarc.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-13-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
Bowie Gridley Architects kandrews@bowie-gridley.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-30-CA	11-22-09 to 11-21-10
Core Group das@coredc.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-29-CA	11-9-09 to 11-8-10
David Volkert & Associates Jspencer@volkert.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-06-CA	11-3-09 to 11-2-10
Delon Hampton lmorris@delonhampton.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-02-CA	11-3-09 to 11-2-10
Deveraoux and Purnell pdevrouax@dp-architects.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-07-CA	11-3-09 to 11-2-10
Diversified Engineering and Architecture mthomas@diveng.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-18-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
Facility Engineering Associates mayraportalatin@feapc.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-17	12-27-09 to 12-26-10

Hanscomb Faithful & Gould Casey.smith@fgould.com Or martin.jacobs@fgould.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-14-CA	11-3-09 to 11-2-10
HNTB Corporation aparker@HNTB.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-11-CA	11-9-09 to 11-08-10
Hoffman Architects r.doyle@HOFFARCH.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-08-CA	11-22-09 to 11-21-10
Huelat Parimucha bhuelat@healingdesign.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-04-CA	11-18-09 to 11-17-10
John J. Christie & Associates JC@johnjchristie.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-25-CA	12-2-09 to 12-2-10
KCI Technologies jblaney@kci.com	POAM-2004-D-28-0044-CA	11-4-09 to 11-3-10
Leo Daly Company RRRUHLMAN@LEODALY.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-20-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
McDonald Bolyard Peck LPAYNE@MBPCE.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-24-CA	12-21-09 to 12-20-10
McKissack and McKissack WHUB@AEROLS.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-26-CA	11-3-09 to 11-2-10
Nelson Architects jnelson@nelsonArch.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-16	11-10-09 to 11-9-10
ONYX Group terry@onyxgroup.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-23-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
Quinn Evans BSMITH@Quinnevans.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-01-CA	9-21-09 to 9-20-10

Project Cost David.hastings@projectcost.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-27-CA	11-3-09 to 11-2-10
RTKL MMCQUEEN@RTKL.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-09-CA	11-22-09 to 11-21-10
Sorg and Associates budp@sorgandassociates.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-22-CA	11-3-09 to 11-2-10
The Temple Group mosghae@thetemplegroup.com or ehill@thetemplegroup.com	POAM-2004-D-0044-03-CA	11-2-09 to 11-1-10
Thomas L. Brown & Associates Tbrown@TLBINC.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-05-CA	10-25-09 to 10-24-10
URS Corporation Lewis_robinson@URSCORP.com	POAM-2004-C-0044-12-CA	11-9-09 to 11-8-10